

1 **Evaluation of normal ranges of wrist radiologic indexes in Mashhad**  
2 **population**

3 **ABSTRACT:**

4 **Background:** The current study aims to measure the normal wrist indexes in our area, compares  
5 it with other present databases and discovers the factors may influence radiographic normal  
6 indexes.

7 **Methods:** 100 healthy participants enrolled in this prospective and cross-sectional study. After  
8 performing PA or lateral radiographs, all radiological wrist indexes including first and third  
9 metacarpal length, wrist height, ulnar variance, radial tilt, radial inclination, radiolunate angle,  
10 capitulate angle, scapholunate angle, capitate and scaphoid length, lunate and wrist width and  
11 Lunate diameter were measured.

12 **Results:** Regarding gender, statically significant differences was measured in first and third  
13 metacarpal length, wrist height, radial tilt, radiolunate angle, capitate and scaphoid length, lunate,  
14 and wrist width, lunate length, and Lunate diameter. The significant linear correlation was  
15 measured between ulnar variance, scapholunate angle, wrist width and length with age.

16 **Conclusion:** Our study is useful to obtain radiographic normal values of hand and wrist  
17 regarding morphological differences among Iranian.

18

19

20 **BACKGROUND:**

21 Plain radiograph has been known as the most common instrument to recognize the wrist  
22 pathologic disorders (1). For instance, radial inclination, ulnar variance, and radial tilt have been  
23 used either to diagnose stability of distal radius fracture or to evaluate the effectiveness of  
24 reduction (1, 2). Also, the different ulnar variance is a red flag for wrist disorders such as  
25 Kienbock's disease, ulnar abutment and radioulnar impingement syndrome(3). Radial inclination  
26 and palmar tilt use to access distal radial fractures outcome(3). Carpal height ratio and  
27 scapholunate angle determine wrist collapse and instabilities as a result of rheumatoid arthritis or  
28 the avascular necrosis of lunate called Kienbock's disease(4).

29 The anatomical variations of the wrist have been found among different genders and races and it  
30 is a need to define normal ranges in each area (1). This anatomical dissimilarity leads to  
31 variability in present normal databases(4).

32 The current study aims to measure the normal wrist indexes in our area, compares it with other  
33 present databases and discovers the factors may influence radiographic normal indexes.

34 **METHODE:**

35 100 healthy participants enrolled in this prospective and cross-sectional study, conducted in three  
36 hand clinics in Mashhad, after ethical board approval. Due to Jafari's study sample size  
37 calculation was performed considering alpha equals 0.05 with the 80% power for 100  
38 participants (2).

39 Participants were chosen from the patients' relatives who have been referred to our clinics.  
40 healthy participants were asked to take a standard posteroanterior (PA) and lateral wrist

41 radiographs in our clinic. Exclusion criteria followed as: age under 18, anatomical deformity of  
42 upper extremities and recent or previous wrist fractures.

43 A standard wrist PA view took by placing the beam vertically to radius styloid while the  
44 shoulder is 90 degrees abducted and elbow 90 degrees flexed. For lateral view wrist was flexed  
45 and abducted while it was in neutral position. To measure radiological indexes, we used Dicom  
46 viewer application. All length measured in millimeter and the angles measured in degree.  
47 Radiological wrist indexes including first and third metacarpal length, wrist height, ulnar  
48 variance, radial tilt, radial inclination, radiolunate angle, capitulate angle, scapholunate angle,  
49 capitate and scaphoid length, lunate and wrist width and Lunate diameter were measured as  
50 follow:

51 **Wrist ratio:** It is the ratio between wrist height and third metacarpus.

52 **Third metacarpal length:** in PA radiograph of the wrist, the interval between distal and  
53 proximal articular surfaces measured as third metacarpal length (Figure 1\_a).

54 **Wrist height:** in PA radiograph, the interval between bases of the third metacarpus to the  
55 intersection of the distal articular surface of radius along with third metacarpus alignment were  
56 measured as wrist height (Figure 1\_b).

57 **First metacarpal length:** in PA radiograph of the wrist the interval between distal and proximal  
58 articular surfaces in millimeters measured as third metacarpal length (Figure 1\_c).

59 **Ulnar variance:** the distance between distal articular surfaces of ulnar and radius which may be  
60 considered as neutral, positive or negative variance (3) (Figure\_2 ).

61 **Radial tilt:** the angle between volar and dorsal articular ends of distal radius drawn  
62 perpendicularly to the longitudinal axes of the radius bone in lateral view (2)(Figure\_3).

63 **Radial inclination:** the angle between two lines, one drawn a perpendicular to the longitudinal  
64 axis of the radius and the other one from radial styloid to ulnar aspect of distal of the radius in  
65 PA radiograph(1) (Figure\_4 ).

66 **Radiolunate angle:** the angle between longitudinal axes of radius to longitudinal axes of lunate  
67 in lateral view (5). (Figure\_5).

68 **Capitolunate angle:** the angle between longitudinal axes of capitate to lunate axes in lateral  
69 view (5) (Figure\_6).

70 **Scapholunate angle:** the angle between longitudinal axes of lunate and scaphoid (tangential line  
71 from the dorsum of scaphoid) in the lateral radiograph (5) (Figure\_7).

72 **Scaphoid length:** the interval between distal and proximal poles of scaphoid bone in millimeters  
73 in wrist PA radiographs (Figure\_8\_S).

74 **Capitate length:** the distance between the distal and proximal pole of the capitate (Figure\_8\_C).

75 **Lunate width:** maximum diameters of lunate bone prolonged to radius alignment in wrist AP  
76 radiographs (Figure\_8\_L).

77 **Wrist width:** the distances between ulnar side articular corners of the 5<sup>th</sup> metacarpus to the radial  
78 corner of the second metacarpus in PA view (Figure\_8\_W).

79 **Lunate diameter:** maximum lunate diameter which is parallel with radius bone in lateral view  
80 (Figure\_9).

81 Data were analyzed by SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL) and P-value more than 0.05  
82 was assigned as significant. Leven's test, T- test and Pearson correlation were used to analyze  
83 data and to investigate the relationship between variables.

## 84 **RESULTS:**

85 A total of 100 participants enrolled in our study, 47 were women and 53 were men. Also, 61  
86 participants were right-handed and 39 were left-handed. The mean age of participants estimated  
87 as  $38.1 \pm 14.7$  years with the maximum of 85 and minimum 18 years old (Table- 1).

88 The mean of first and third metacarpal length were  $49.7 \pm 3.95$  and  $70.1 \pm 5.55$ , respectively. The  
89 mean of wrist height was  $37.1 \pm 3.75$ , ulnar variance was  $1.15 \pm 2.54$ , radial tilt was  $10.2 \pm 5.17$ ,  
90 radial inclination was  $24.5 \pm 3.23$ , radiolunate angle was  $3.31 \pm 9.02$ , capitulate angle was  
91  $18.3 \pm 6.99$ , scapholunate angle was  $52.5 \pm 8.92$ , capitate length was  $23.8 \pm 2.39$ , scaphoid length  
92 was  $23.1 \pm 3.57$ , lunate width was  $10.9 \pm 1.51$ , wrist width was  $46.7 \pm 4.77$  and Lunate diameter  
93 was  $9.63 \pm 1.25$  (Table-2).

94 Regarding gender,statically significant differences was measured in first and third metacarpal  
95 length, wrist height, radial tilt, radiolunate angle, capitate and scaphoid length, lunate and wrist  
96 width, lunate length and Lunate diameter (Table-3).

97 Significant linear correlation was measured between ulnar variance, scapholunate angle, wrist  
98 width and length with age (Table-4).

## 99 **DISCUSSION:**

100 Nowadays several imaging studies have been provided to measure bony structures using  
101 landmarks on PA and lateral radiographs (1). Normal wrist indexes can be useful to follow up

102 hand pathologies by comparing indexes before and after treatment (6). Considering race, age and  
103 gender differences in radiograph analysis have been recommended since they affect diagnose  
104 accuracy (1, 7).

105 Like every other study, our study has some limitation. One of the limitation was the risk of  
106 inaccurate measuring owing to operator related technique for performing radiographs. The  
107 second limitation was that we used patients' healthy relative which may not really representative  
108 of the normal Iranian population. Finally, due to the limited time and budget we had to reduce  
109 the number of participants to the minimum calculated number which was 100.

110 The fact that age and gender influence hand indexes such as ulnar variance, third metacarpal  
111 length, and radial inclination have been proven in Mexican, Egyptians and Taiwanese population  
112 before (1, 7, 8). Also, Okan et al. revealed differences in the carpal height, carpal- ulnar distance  
113 and third metacarpal length among various ages (9). As we measured ulnar variance is in  
114 correlation with age that implies it as a cause of ulnocarpal impingement syndrome(10).  
115 Moreover, normal aging is in combination with degenerative changes (1). Unlike the results of  
116 our study, Mohammed Ali et al. in their study reported that ulnar variance varies between males  
117 and females (1). Despite the ulnar variance, the other length related indexes were significantly  
118 shorter in women implying that men wrist are wider.

119 Jafari et al results and our results are similar in a number of ways. Just like our study, Jafari et  
120 al. revealed no significant different in radial tilt and scapholunate angle due to age and sex, no  
121 significant different in ulnar variance and radial tilt regarding sex and age, respectively. Also,  
122 Wrist height, third metacarpal length, lunate width, and capitate length found to be statically  
123 different considering gender(2). Comparison between our study and Jafari study showed in  
124 table\_5.

125 On the controversy, carpal height ratio was not statically significant due to age in Wang et al.  
126 study (7). Neither age nor gender affected wrist radiographic indexes in Foteva el al study(11).  
127 One important distinction between Jafari el. al and our study is that no significant different found  
128 in wrist height, third metacarpal length, lunate width and capitate length considering age. Also,  
129 carpal height ratio were not statically significant due to age and gender in Jafari el. al. study;  
130 however, in our study wrist height was significantly higher in females and found to be decreased  
131 by aging(2).  
132 Finally, it is a common knowledge which radiographic instruments can use to perform new  
133 orthopedic studies, make orthopedic implants and diagnose pathologic disorders such as carpal  
134 collapse caused by osteonecrosis or osteoarthritis, radius fracture and its prognosis, ligament  
135 injuries, and make a the most effective decision to perform surgical procedures (2, 3, 6, 12).

## 136 **CONCLUSION:**

137 Our study evaluates the relationship between age and sex with normal radiograph indexes of  
138 wrist among the Iranian population. Different types of studies like ours are useful to obtain  
139 radiographic normal values of hand and wrist regarding morphological differences among  
140 various races. We recommend that every population should use its database as a normal  
141 reference, owing to differences have been found in our study.

142

143 **Patient consent:** informed consent was obtained from the study participant

144 **Disclosure:** The authors report no conflict of interest concerning the materials or methods used  
145 in this study or the findings specified in this paper.

146 **LEGENDS:**

147 Figure\_1: A: Third metacarpal length. B: Wrist height. C: First metacarpal length

148 Figure\_2: Ulnar variance

149 Figure\_3: Radial tilt

150 Figure\_4: Radial inclination

151 Figure\_5: Radiolunate angle

152 Figure\_6: Capitulum angle

153 Figure\_7: Scapholunate angle

154 Figure\_8: S: Scaphoid length. C: Capitate length. L: Lunate width. W: Wrist width

155 Figure\_9: Lunate diameter

156 **REFERENCES:**

157 1. Mohammed Ali MH. A normal data-base of posteroanterior radiographic measurements  
158 of the wrist in healthy Egyptians. Surgical and radiologic anatomy : SRA. 2009;31(9):665-74.

159 2. Jafari D, Taheri H, Shariatzade H, Mazhar FN, Jalili A, Ghahramani M. Radiographic  
160 indices in one hundred fifty normal Iranian wrists. Medical journal of the Islamic Republic of  
161 Iran. 2012;26(3):132-9.

162 3. Mann F, Wilson A, Gilula L. Radiographic evaluation of the wrist: what does the hand  
163 surgeon want to know? Radiology. 1992;184(1):15-24.

164 4. Feipel V, Rinnen D, Rooze M. Postero-anterior radiography of the wrist. Normal  
165 database of carpal measurements. Surgical and radiologic anatomy : SRA. 1998;20(3):221-6.



- 166 5. Roh YH, Noh JH, Lee BK, Baek JR, Oh JH, Gong HS, et al. Reliability and validity of  
167 carpal alignment measurements in evaluating deformities of scaphoid fractures. Archives of  
168 orthopaedic and trauma surgery. 2014;134(6):887-93.
- 169 6. Schuind FA, Linscheid RL, An KN, Chao EY. A normal data base of posteroanterior  
170 roentgenographic measurements of the wrist. The Journal of bone and joint surgery American  
171 volume. 1992;74(9):1418-29.
- 172 7. Wang YC, Tseng YC, Chang HY, Wang YJ, Chen CJ, Wu DY. Gender differences in  
173 carpal height ratio in a taiwanese population. The Journal of hand surgery. 2010;35(2):252-5.
- 174 8. Franco-Valencia M, Torres-Gonzalez R, Fuentes-Figueroa S. [Radiographic  
175 measurements of the wrist in healthy Mexicans]. Cirugia y cirujanos. 2006;74(5):335-42.
- 176 9. Okan N, Caboglu F, Mertoglu T, Durakbasa O, Gorgec M. [The measurement of wrist  
177 kinematics in children 4 to 16 years old and the comparison of the scapholunate distance with  
178 adult values]. Acta orthopaedica et traumatologica turcica. 2004;38(1):30-3.
- 179 10. Nakamura R, Tanaka Y, Imaeda T, Miura T. The influence of age and sex on ulnar  
180 variance. The Journal of Hand Surgery: British & European Volume. 1991;16(1):84-8.
- 181 11. Foteva M, Poposka A. KINEMATIC RADIOGRAPHIC MEASUREMENTS OF THE  
182 WRIST IN THE HEALTHY POPULATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA.  
183 Prilozi/Makedonska akademija na naukite i umetnostite, Oddelenie za biološki i medicinski  
184 nauki= Contributions/Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Section of Biological and  
185 Medical Sciences. 2010;31(2):83.
- 186 12. Schuind F, Alemzadeh S, Stallenberg B, Burny F. Does the normal contralateral wrist  
187 provide the best reference for X-ray film measurements of the pathologic wrist? The Journal of  
188 hand surgery. 1996;21(1):24-30.

189

190