

1 **Title:** *Epidemiology of joint dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries among 2,700*
2 *patients: Five-year trend of a tertiary center in Iran*

3
4 **Abstract**

5 **Purpose:** The epidemiology of traumatic dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries is
6 poorly understood. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the prevalence and distribution of
7 various dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries in a tertiary orthopedic hospital in Iran.

8 **Methods:** During 5 years, musculoskeletal injuries of an academic tertiary health care center
9 in Tehran were recorded. Demographic details of patients with diagnosis of pure dislocations
10 and ligamentous/tendinous injuries were extracted. Type and site of injuries were classified
11 according to specific age/gender groups.

12 **Result:** Of 18,890 admitted patients, 628 (3.3%) were diagnosed with dislocations and 2,081
13 (11%) were diagnosed with ligamentous/tendinous injuries. The total Male/Female ratio was
14 4.2:1 in patients with dislocations and 1.7:1 in patients with ligamentous/tendinous injuries.
15 The most prevalent site of dislocation was shoulder (50.6%), followed by fingers (10.1%), toes
16 (7.6%), hip (7.3%), and elbow (6.5%). Ankle was the most common site of
17 ligamentous/tendinous injury (53.5%), followed by midfoot (12.3%), knee (8.3%), hand (7%),
18 and shoulder (5%). The mean age of the patients was 35.0 (SD = 18.2) in dislocations and 31.3
19 (SD = 15.1) in ligamentous/tendinous injuries. There was no seasonal variation.

20 **Conclusion:** Shoulder dislocation and ankle ligamentous injury are the most frequent injuries.
21 Dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries are more common in younger population.
22 Dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries have different distribution patterns in specific
23 age and sex groups. Epidemiologic studies can help to develop and evaluate injury prevention
24 strategies, resource allocation, and training priorities.

25
26 **Keywords:** Epidemiology, Dislocations, Tendons, Ligaments, Injury, Developing Countries

28 **Introduction**

29 Musculoskeletal injuries impose an enormous burden of disability on individuals, society and
30 the health care system (1). Although the majority of such injuries are non-fatal, the morbidity
31 and suffering they cause are substantial (1). In the past decades, the growing global burden of
32 injuries have demanded the attention of policy-makers in the public health arena all over the
33 world. Currently, it is accepted that injuries are preventable and the burden should be reduced
34 with appropriate strategies, especially in low- and middle-income countries (1,2). The first step
35 of public health approach to injury prevention is to define the magnitude and characteristics of
36 the problem (2).

37 Several studies have attempted to define the effect of age and gender on incidence of
38 musculoskeletal injuries, with an emphasis on fractures. The first work in this field was
39 published in 1959 by Buhr and Cooke (3). They reported the epidemiology of fractures around
40 Oxford, UK, between 1953 and 1957. Since then a number of studies have investigated the
41 epidemiological pattern of fractures for individual fracture sites (4–10) and complete range of
42 fractures (11–14). Despite numerous research on epidemiology of fractures, studies with an
43 exclusive focus on epidemiology of dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries are scarce,
44 especially in developing countries.

45 In this study, we aimed to evaluate the prevalence and distribution of various dislocations and
46 ligamentous/tendinous injuries during 5 years in a tertiary orthopedic hospital in Iran.

47

48 **Materials and methods**

49 **In this cross-sectional study, patients with musculoskeletal injuries admitted to the emergency**
50 **department (ED) of Shariati hospital (Tehran, Iran) were recorded from February 2005 to**
51 **October 2010.** The hospital is an academic tertiary health care center in Tehran, the capital of
52 Iran. All records were analyzed for type of injury without any exclusion. Different injuries were
53 classified as fractures, dislocations, ligamentous/tendinous injuries, soft tissue injuries,
54 lacerations, and other injuries. Demographic details of patients with diagnosis of pure
55 dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries were extracted from the primary data for
56 distribution analyses. Data for fractures, lacerations, soft tissue and other injuries were
57 excluded from further analysis.

58 The obtained data were analyzed using SPSS (Version 19.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) and
59 presented as count, frequency and percentages. Type and site of dislocations and
60 ligamentous/tendinous injuries were classified according to specific age/gender groups and
61 distribution patterns were determined. **The Chi-square test was used to determine the difference**

62 between males and females in different injuries. The study was approved by the ethical
63 committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS Institutional Review Board).

64

65 **Results**

66 A total of 18,890 musculoskeletal injuries were recorded during the 5-year study period with a
67 male/female ratio of 2.76:1 (13870 males and 5020 females). Of this total number, the most
68 frequent injuries were fractures with 43.4% followed by soft tissue injuries (21.1%), lacerations
69 (12.8%), ligamentous/tendinous injuries (11%), and dislocations (3.3%). Other types of injuries
70 including burns, amputations, foreign body and infections made up 8.4% of injuries.

71 Data of 2,709 patients with diagnosis of dislocations (628) and ligamentous/tendinous injuries
72 (2,081) were extracted for the purpose of this study. From this point onward, only data of these
73 two groups of injuries will be discussed.

74 The total M/F (Male/Female) ratio in patients with dislocations was 4.2:1, with 507 (80.7%)
75 males and 121 (19.3%) females. Higher prevalence of injury in males was also present in
76 patients with ligamentous/tendinous injuries. In this group, M/F ratio was 1.7:1, with 1299
77 (62.4%) male patients and 782 (37.6%) females. Of the total number, 76.9% of the dislocations
78 and 17.6% of the ligamentous/tendinous injuries were of the upper limbs. There was no
79 seasonal variation in injury occurrence.

80 Dislocations

81 The most prevalent site of dislocation was shoulder (50.6%), followed by fingers (10.1%), toes
82 (7.6%), hip (7.3%), and elbow (6.5%). The highest M/F ratio among all dislocation subtypes
83 was found in the shoulder dislocation (Table 1). The mean age of the patients with dislocation
84 was 35.0 (SD = 18.2) with a mode of 24 years. The mean age for males was 32.3 (SD = 16.2)
85 with a mode of 24 years and for females was 46.32 (SD = 21.5) with two modes of 55 and 60
86 years.

87 It was found that the highest number (24.3%) of all dislocations occurred in individuals
88 between the ages of 21 and 25 years (Fig. 1). Age and gender distribution of the common types
89 of dislocations are shown in figure 2. Patients aged 80 years and older presented with
90 dislocation of shoulder (3.4%, n=11, N=318), hip (4.3%, n=2, N=46), acromioclavicular joint
91 (3.4%, n=1, N=29), MTP/Lisfranc (17.6%, n=3, N=17), and sternoclavicular joint (33.3%, n=1,
92 N=3) (Table 1).

93 Ligamentous/tendinous injuries

94 Ankle was the most common site of ligamentous injury (53.5%), followed by midfoot (12.3%),
95 knee (8.3%), hand (7%), and shoulder (5%). The highest M/F ratio was seen in hand and wrist

96 (Table 2). In patients with ligamentous/tendinous injuries, the mean age was 31.3 (SD = 15.1)
97 with a mode of 20 years. The mean age for males was 29.5 (SD = 13.6) with a mode of 23
98 years and for females was 34.5 (SD = 16.8) with a mode of 20 years. The highest number
99 (22.4%) of all ligamentous/tendinous injuries occurred between the ages of twenty-one and
100 twenty-five (Fig. 3). Age and gender distribution of the common types of
101 ligamentous/tendinous injuries are shown in figure 4. Patients with 80 years and older
102 presented with ligamentous/tendinous injury in the following sites: ankle (0.6%, n=7 N=1113),
103 midfoot (0.4%, n=1, N=256), knee (2.3%, n=4, N=173), and shoulder (3.8%, n=4, N=105)
104 (Table 2).

105

106 **Discussion**

107 The purpose of this study was to describe epidemiology of all dislocations and
108 ligamentous/tendinous injuries presented to a tertiary health care center. To the best of our
109 knowledge, this study is the first to report the epidemiological features in complete range of
110 dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries using a population-based approach in Iran.

111 Among 18,890 patients admitted to the ED with musculoskeletal injuries, 2,081 (14.3%) were
112 diagnosed with dislocation or ligamentous/tendinous injury. Prevalence of injuries were almost
113 always higher in men; M/F ratio was 4.2 in dislocations and 1.7 in ligamentous/tendinous
114 injuries. The predominance of injury occurrences among males has been reported in previous
115 studies (15–17). This higher prevalence can be explained by activities performed by males
116 which expose them to a greater risk of injury (17).

117 The most prevalent site of dislocation was shoulder. This finding was in accordance with other
118 studies (18,19). In the retrospective cohort study of Yang and his colleagues during 2000-2005
119 in Taiwan, the shoulder dislocation was the most prevalent dislocation with average incidence
120 rate of 15.3/100,000 (18). Hindle et al. reported the glenohumeral joint dislocation as the most
121 common dislocation with an incidence rate of 51.2/100,000 (19). The mean age of the patients
122 with shoulder dislocation in our study was 36.1 years (Male=33.3, female=53.7) and the M/F
123 ratio was 6.6:1 (Males=86.8%). In a cross-sectional descriptive epidemiological study in
124 American population, Zacchilli and colleagues reported a mean age of 35.4 with 71.8% male
125 proportion (20). This finding was similar to the Norwegian study with 72.4% males and a
126 median age of 34 (21). Various M/F ratios were reported for shoulder dislocation in the
127 previous studies, ranged from 53 to 92.5% (20–26). This variation was in some cases a result
128 of the different population samples. For instance, in the study of Owens and colleagues in the

129 United States military, the basic population was predominantly male (85.9%); accordingly, the
130 M/F ratio of the shoulder dislocation in such population was high (92.5%) (24).

131 The elbow dislocation in our study was much less common, compared with the study of Yang,
132 where the elbow dislocation was the second most common site of injury with incidence of
133 7.7/100,000 (18). Our result was more consistent with the study of Hindle, in which the elbow
134 was the seventh site of the dislocation with an incidence rate of 5.5/100,000 (19). Similar to
135 both of the studies, we found that fingers and hip dislocations are relatively prevalent. In
136 contrast to both of them, toes dislocation was much more prevalent in our study (18,19). This
137 higher rate may be due to the higher number of motor-cyclists in developing countries, in which
138 the most common site of injury is lower limb (27–29).

139 In this study, the patellar dislocation was very rare. Previous studies reported various incidence
140 rates for patellar dislocation. In a review of literature, we found a wide range of 2.29 to 69 per
141 100,000 (19,30–34). This variability could be attributed to the difficulty in assessing the
142 accurate incidence of the patellar dislocation, because most of them may reduce or resolve
143 spontaneously before radiography (19).

144 Ankle dislocation was very rare in our study in contrast to others (18,19). Lower rate of some
145 dislocations in our study could be explained by the point that only pure dislocations were
146 included and in some cases such as ankle dislocation, the pure dislocation without fracture is
147 very rare (35). For instance, in the study of the Hindle et al., only 6% of the ankle dislocations
148 did not have an associated fracture (19).

149 In this study, the most common site of ligamentous/tendinous injury was ankle. The exact
150 epidemiology of ankle sprain is hard to define owing to the point that a number of patients may
151 not seek health care or may be treated in alternative healthcare setting (36). Previous studies
152 reported that ankle sprain is a common injury seen in emergency departments, especially in the
153 athletic population (37–39). A systematic review of 227 epidemiological studies reporting
154 injury pattern in 70 sports from 38 countries during 1977 to 2005 showed that ankle was the
155 second most common injured body site and ankle sprain was the major ankle injury (37). The
156 mean age of ankle injury in our study was higher than the mean age in the study of Waterman
157 et al (30.5 vs. 26.2) (39). They evaluated incidence of the ankle sprain in the general population
158 of the United States and found that the age of ten to nineteen years was associated with higher
159 rates of ankle sprain (39). They also reported that half of all ankle sprains occurred during
160 athletic activity (39).

161 Unlike dislocations, sprains were common in the midfoot. It was the second most prevalent site
162 of Ligamentous/tendinous injury. The midfoot area is a common area of foot to be injured in

163 athletes and sprains are the most common pattern of injury (40–42). However, in general
164 population, the midfoot injury is less frequent (43). The higher prevalence of midfoot sprain in
165 our study may be due to overdiagnosis. In our hospital setting, the diagnosis of
166 ligamentous/tendinous injury of the midfoot was based on abnormal physical examination and
167 normal radiographs.

168 Ligamentous/tendinous injuries of the knee were more prevalent than dislocations. This finding
169 was similar to the results of Gage et al (44). In a comprehensive retrospective study, they
170 reviewed 6.6 million knee injuries during 1999 to 2008 and reported the high incidence rate of
171 2.29 per 1,000 population for knee injuries. The most common diagnosis was knee
172 strain/sprains with 42.1% (44).

173 We found that the mean and mode of the age for the ligamentous/tendinous injuries were lower
174 than the dislocations. In ligamentous/tendinous injuries, we found a peak in 20 years with a
175 steep decline up to the eighth decade, which was very similar to what Clayton and colleagues
176 reported for soft tissue injuries (45). In a 5-year prospective study on the musculoskeletal
177 tendinous and ligamentous injuries, they found a high peak incidence of 257/100,000 per year
178 in males in early adulthood with a steep decline up to the seventh decade. However, the pattern
179 they found for females was different and less age-related (45). In our study, high prevalence of
180 the ligamentous/tendinous injuries in the early adulthood was also present in the females.

181 In elderly patients, shoulder was a common site of injury. Although the majority of shoulder
182 dislocations occur in young people, previous studies have reported a second mode in the elderly
183 (20,21,46). This increase after 65 years may be due to weakness resulting from chronic illnesses
184 or Sarcopenia (age related decrease of skeletal muscle mass) which can lead to an increased
185 rate of falls (47–49). In our study, 12.5% of the patients with shoulder dislocation and 18.1%
186 of the patients with shoulder ligamentous/tendinous injury were ≥ 65 years old.

187 Distribution curves for the age and gender related incidence of fractures were previously
188 described (13). Although our study reported the trend of injuries in one tertiary center without
189 incidence analysis, we compare our distribution patterns with previously reported curves.

190 Analysis of the different types of fractures showed eight curves which are: A. unimodal
191 distribution in young men and older woman; B. unimodal distribution in young men; C.
192 unimodal distribution in young men and young women; D. unimodal distribution in young
193 men, bimodal distribution in women; E. unimodal distribution in older women; F. unimodal
194 distribution in older men and older women; G. bimodal distribution in men and unimodal
195 distribution in older women; H. bimodal distribution in man and women (13). In our study,
196 shoulder, toes, hip and elbow dislocations roughly fitted into the type B distribution curve,

197 while fingers dislocation showed a type C curve. Type B pattern was much more common in
198 dislocations compared to the fractures (13,50). In ligamentous/tendinous injuries, ankle injury
199 fitted into the type C curve. The curves for the knee and hand injuries were similar to the type
200 D curve. The distribution curve for the midfoot did not fit into the eight patterns; however, it
201 was similar to the pattern which was reported for Achilles tendon rupture previously (Types L
202 distribution) (45).

203 The main limitation of this study was the lack of national registry system for trauma which is
204 crucial to help researchers and decision makers in health policy.

205 Although this absence forced us to limit our study to one major tertiary center, the registry
206 system of the selected hospital was trustworthy and technically reliable and the study
207 population was relatively large.

208 In this study, shoulder dislocation and ankle ligamentous injury were the most frequent injuries.
209 Both dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries were more common in younger
210 population and were likely to affect older women too. We believe that the epidemiology of
211 dislocations and ligamentous/tendinous injuries is important, while the studies in this arena are
212 scarce.

213

214

215

216

217

218 **References**

- 219 1. Mock C, Cherian MN. The global burden of musculoskeletal injuries: challenges and
220 solutions. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2008;466(10):2306–16.
- 221 2. Krug EG, Sharma GK, Lozano R. The global burden of injuries. *Am J Public Health.*
222 2000;90(4):523–6.
- 223 3. BUHR AJ, COOKE AM. Fracture patterns. *Lancet.* 1959;1(7072):531–6.
- 224 4. Shibuya N, Davis ML, Jupiter DC. Epidemiology of foot and ankle fractures in the
225 United States: an analysis of the National Trauma Data Bank (2007 to 2011). *J foot ankle*
226 *Surg.* 2014;53(5):606–8.
- 227 5. Pietu G, Lebaron M, Flecher X, Hulet C, Vandebussche E. Epidemiology of distal
228 femur fractures in France in 2011-12. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2014;100(5):545–8.
- 229 6. Dimai HP, Svedbom A, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Resch H, Muschitz C, Thaler H, et al.
230 Epidemiology of distal forearm fractures in Austria between 1989 and 2010. *Osteoporos*
231 *Int.* 2014;25(9):2297–306.
- 232 7. Nellans KW, Kowalski E, Chung KC. The epidemiology of distal radius fractures. *Hand*
233 *Clin.* 2012;28(2):113–25.
- 234 8. Duckworth AD, Clement ND, Aitken SA, Court-Brown CM, McQueen MM. The
235 epidemiology of fractures of the proximal ulna. *Injury.* 2012;43(3):343–6.
- 236 9. Kaye JA, Jick H. Epidemiology of lower limb fractures in general practice in the United
237 Kingdom. *Inj Prev.* 2004;10(6):368–74.
- 238 10. Cumming RG, Nevitt MC, Cummings SR. Epidemiology of hip fractures. *Epidemiol*
239 *Rev.* 1997;19(2):244–57.
- 240 11. Singer BR, McLauchlan GJ, Robinson CM, Christie J. Epidemiology of fractures in
241 15,000 adults: the influence of age and gender. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1998;80(2):243–
242 8.
- 243 12. Sir Cooper A. A treatise on dislocations and on fractures of the joints: fractures of the
244 neck of the thigh-bone. 1823. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2007;458:6–7.
- 245 13. Court-Brown CM, Caesar B. Epidemiology of adult fractures: A review. *Injury.*
246 2006;37(8):691–7.
- 247 14. Hedström EM, Svensson O, Bergström U, Michno P. Epidemiology of fractures in
248 children and adolescents. *Acta Orthop.* 2010;81(1):148–53.
- 249 15. Ansari-Moghaddam A, Martiniuk AL, Mohammadi M, Rad M, Sargazi F, Sheykhzadeh
250 K, et al. The pattern of injury and poisoning in South East Iran. *BMC Int Health Hum*
251 *Rights.* 2012;12:17.
- 252 16. Rasouli MR, Saadat S, Haddadi M, Gooya MM, Afsari M, Rahimi-Movaghar V.
253 Epidemiology of injuries and poisonings in emergency departments in Iran. *Public*
254 *Health.* 2011;125(10):727–33.
- 255 17. Zargar M, Modaghegh MH, Rezaishiraz H. Urban injuries in Tehran: demography of
256 trauma patients and evaluation of trauma care. *Injury.* 2001;32(8):613–7.
- 257 18. Yang N-P, Chen H-C, Phan D-V, Yu I-L, Lee Y-H, Chan C-L, et al. Epidemiological
258 survey of orthopedic joint dislocations based on nationwide insurance data in Taiwan,
259 2000-2005. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2011;12:253.
- 260 19. Hindle P, Davidson EK, Biant LC, Court-Brown CM. Appendicular joint dislocations.

261 Injury. 2013;44(8):1022–7.

262 20. Zacchilli MA, Owens BD. Epidemiology of shoulder dislocations presenting to
263 emergency departments in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(3):542–9.

264 21. Liavaag S, Svenningsen S, Reikerås O, Enger M, Fjalestad T, Pripp AH, et al. The
265 epidemiology of shoulder dislocations in Oslo. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.*
266 2011;21(6):e334–40.

267 22. Krøner K, Lind T, Jensen J. The epidemiology of shoulder dislocations. *Arch Orthop
268 Trauma Surg.* 1989;108(5):288–90.

269 23. Nordqvist A, Petersson CJ. Incidence and causes of shoulder girdle injuries in an urban
270 population. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 1995;4(2):107–12.

271 24. Owens BD, Dawson L, Burks R, Cameron KL. Incidence of shoulder dislocation in the
272 United States military: demographic considerations from a high-risk population. *J Bone
273 Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91(4):791–6.

274 25. Owens BD, Duffey ML, Nelson BJ, DeBerardino TM, Taylor DC, Mountcastle SB. The
275 incidence and characteristics of shoulder instability at the United States Military
276 Academy. *Am J Sports Med.* 2007;35(7):1168–73.

277 26. Simonet WT, Melton LJ, Cofield RH, Ilstrup DM. Incidence of anterior shoulder
278 dislocation in Olmsted County, Minnesota. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1984;(186):186–91.

279 27. Solagberu BA, Ofoegbu CKP, Nasir AA, Ogundipe OK, Adekanye AO, Abdur-Rahman
280 LO. Motorcycle injuries in a developing country and the vulnerability of riders,
281 passengers, and pedestrians. *Inj Prev.* 2006;12(4):266–8.

282 28. Oluwadiya KS, Oginni LM, Olasinde AA, Fadiora SO. Motorcycle limb injuries in a
283 developing country. *West Afr J Med.* 2004;23(1):42–7.

284 29. Lateef F. Riding motorcycles: is it a lower limb hazard? *Singapore Med J.*
285 2002;43(11):566–9.

286 30. Fithian DC, Paxton EW, Stone M Lou, Silva P, Davis DK, Elias DA, et al. Epidemiology
287 and natural history of acute patellar dislocation. *Am J Sports Med.* 2004;32(5):1114–21.

288 31. Hsiao M, Owens BD, Burks R, Sturdivant RX, Cameron KL. Incidence of acute
289 traumatic patellar dislocation among active-duty United States military service
290 members. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010;38(10):1997–2004.

291 32. Nietosvaara Y, Aalto K, Kallio PE. Acute patellar dislocation in children: incidence and
292 associated osteochondral fractures. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1994;14(4):513–5.

293 33. Sillanpää P, Mattila VM, Iivonen T, Visuri T, Pihlajamäki H. Incidence and risk factors
294 of acute traumatic primary patellar dislocation. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008;40(4):606–
295 11.

296 34. Waterman BR, Belmont PJ, Owens BD. Patellar dislocation in the United States: role of
297 sex, age, race, and athletic participation. *J Knee Surg.* 2012;25(1):51–7.

298 35. Wight L, Owen D, James D. Pure ankle dislocation: management with early weight
299 bearing and mobilization. *ANZ J Surg.* 2015. Available from:
300 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ans.12958/abstract>

301 36. Bridgman SA, Clement D, Downing A, Walley G, Phair I, Maffulli N. Population based
302 epidemiology of ankle sprains attending accident and emergency units in the West
303 Midlands of England, and a survey of UK practice for severe ankle sprains. *Emerg Med
304 J.* 2003;20(6):508–10.

- 305 37. Fong DT-P, Hong Y, Chan L-K, Yung PS-H, Chan K-M. A systematic review on ankle
306 injury and ankle sprain in sports. *Sports Med.* 2007;37(1):73–94.
- 307 38. Hootman JM, Dick R, Agel J. Epidemiology of collegiate injuries for 15 sports:
308 summary and recommendations for injury prevention initiatives. *J Athl Train.*
309 2007;42(2):311–9.
- 310 39. Waterman BR, Owens BD, Davey S, Zacchilli MA, Belmont PJ. The epidemiology of
311 ankle sprains in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(13):2279–84.
- 312 40. Burroughs KE, Reimer CD, Fields KB. Lisfranc injury of the foot: a commonly missed
313 diagnosis. *Am Fam Physician.* 1998;58(1):118–24.
- 314 41. Curtis MJ, Myerson M, Szura B. Tarsometatarsal joint injuries in the athlete. *Am J*
315 *Sports Med.* 1993;21(4):497–502.
- 316 42. Meyer SA, Callaghan JJ, Albright JP, Crowley ET, Powell JW. Midfoot sprains in
317 collegiate football players. *Am J Sports Med.* 1994;22(3):392–401.
- 318 43. Hardcastle PH, Reschauer R, Kutscha-Lissberg E, Schoffmann W. Injuries to the
319 tarsometatarsal joint. Incidence, classification and treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.*
320 1982;64(3):349–56.
- 321 44. Gage BE, McIlvain NM, Collins CL, Fields SK, Comstock RD. Epidemiology of 6.6
322 million knee injuries presenting to United States emergency departments from 1999
323 through 2008. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2012;19(4):378–85.
- 324 45. Clayton RAE, Court-Brown CM. The epidemiology of musculoskeletal tendinous and
325 ligamentous injuries. *Injury.* 2008;39(12):1338–44.
- 326 46. Robinson CM, Seah M, Akhtar MA. The epidemiology, risk of recurrence, and
327 functional outcome after an acute traumatic posterior dislocation of the shoulder. *J Bone*
328 *Joint Surg Am.* 2011;93(17):1605–13.
- 329 47. Bonne S, Schuerer DJE. Trauma in the older adult: epidemiology and evolving geriatric
330 trauma principles. *Clin Geriatr Med.* 2013;29(1):137–50.
- 331 48. Kim TN, Choi KM. Sarcopenia: definition, epidemiology, and pathophysiology. *J bone*
332 *Metab.* 2013;20(1):1–10.
- 333 49. Roubenoff R. Origins and clinical relevance of sarcopenia. *Can J Appl Physiol.*
334 2001;26(1):78–89.
- 335 50. Court-Brown CM, Bugler KE, Clement ND, Duckworth AD, McQueen MM. The
336 epidemiology of open fractures in adults. A 15-year review. *Injury.* 2012;43(6):891–7.

337

338

339