Treatment Outcome of Intramedullary Fixation with a Locked Rigid Nail in Humeral Shaft Fractures
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to determine the treatment outcome of humeral shaft fractures with a locked rigid intramedullary nail in patients indicated for surgical treatment.

Methods: In this descriptive-cross sectional study, all patients were followed up for one, six, and 18 months post-operatively. The Short Form Questionnaire (SF-36) and Constant Shoulder Score were applied.

Results: Of 78 included patients (mean age: 35), one patient had a soft tissue infection, one had secondary radial nerve palsy, eight had non-union, one had elbow limited range of motion in extension, and three patients had decreased shoulder range of motion. The Constant Shoulder Score and Short Form Questionnaire Score (SF-36) increased in all patients, although aged women showed lower improvement.

Conclusion: Intramedullary nail fixation in the humeral shaft fracture may be associated with high rates of non-union.
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Introduction

Humeral fractures comprise 1-7% of all fractures and are the third most common fractures in the elderly, after hip and wrist fractures (1-3). Isolated humeral shaft fracture counts for 1-3% of all fractures and in many patients is due to closed trauma (3,4).

Fortunately, non-operative methods are effective in treating the majority of humeral shaft fractures. In patients with surgical indication two different models are available: compression plate and intramedullary nailing (with open and closed approaches) and each one has its advantages and disadvantages (3,4). With plate and screw fixation, we may achieve more rigid fixation; however, in intramedullary nailing, fracture site soft tissue manipulation is much less (3,4). Closed locked nailing is a successful alternative for femoral, tibial, and humeral shaft fracture treatment (5,6). Also, segmental fracture, pathological fracture, obesity, burn injuries and multiple fractures are indications for intramedullary nailing and contraindications are chronic shoulder pain, wheel chair bound patients, radial nerve palsy and humeral canal size less than nine millimeters (7).

Because of the significant morbidity that has been reported following the operative treatment of humeral shaft fractures, we reviewed our experience with a series of such fractures that were treated by intramedullary fixation at our hospital. In this study we present surgical outcomes, technical errors and complications.
these patients were initially treated the first 48 hours after admission. Only three patients with multiple traumas was treated dilatory within a maximum of one week after injury. Open Gustilo type III fractures, proximal fractures within four centimeters of the surgical neck, distal fractures within three centimeters of diaphysis-metaphysis junction, and shaft fractures extending into the greater tubercle were excluded. The indications for operative treatment were patients with multiple fractures (n=51), pathologic fractures (n=3), segmental fractures (n=19), a burn patient (n=1), and patients with BMI>30 (n=4). Seventy-three patients had closed fractures and five patients had open fractures. Fifty-nine fractures were treated with the closed approach and 19 were treated with the open approach.

Before beginning the treatment, therapeutic protocols, and their advantages and disadvantages were completely explained to all patients orally and informed consent was obtained.

Pre-operation planning
High quality anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the injured extremity were obtained to learn about the fracture pattern, presence of comminution, medullary canal dimensions, and bowing of the humerus. The length of the nail was measured as the distance between two centimeters over the olecranon fossa and lower edge of greater tuberosity on the uninjured extremity radiograph after correcting for magnification.

Surgery technique
The fracture was reduced with gentle longitudinal traction and manipulation. If the reduction procedure failed three times, or if there were any neurovascular injuries, the open technique was selected. After reduction, the entry point for the nail was the greater tuberosity, just lateral to the articular margin. We broached the canal with a reamer and the nail was passed across the fracture site. In case of nonunion, nail removal plating and bone grafting were performed. Postoperative external support consisted of a sling and an elastic bandage. Physiotherapy was initiated gently the first day after surgery, followed by gradually active range of motion exercises when the Codman exercises ceased to be painful.

All patients dismissed in 48 hours after surgery. All patients demographic features, including age, sex and mechanism of trauma were recorded before the follow up begin. Times of follow up visits in all patients were month one, sixth, and 18th after surgery.

Post-op evaluation
In the month one (Visit A), sixth (Visit B), and 18th (Visit C) after surgery, each patient was examined by another surgeon. Complications were recorded and shoulder subjective outcome was evaluated using a combination of the Constant Shoulder Score, Short Form Questionnaire (SF-36).

Union was defined as the absence of motion at the fracture site with manual manipulation and the consolidation of visible callus as seen on radiographs. A nonunion was present if the fracture did not reach the union by six months after injury. Other complications in this study were: mal-union, secondary radial nerve palsy, soft tissue infection, and limited range of motion in the elbow or shoulder joints.

Constant score is a valid tool to evaluate the function of the shoulder. This scoring system (range: 0-100) consists of four variables (pain, daily living activities, range of motion, power) that are used to assess the range of motion and limb strength. Range of motion was measured by the Goniometer and the favorable score for this tool is between 60-70 points (8-9).

The Short Form Questionnaire (SF-36) is a valid tool to evaluate the health of patients. It is commonly used in health economics as a variable in the quality-adjusted life year calculation to determine the cost-effectiveness of treatment. This tool consists of eight different scaled scores that grade each patient with points from 0-100. The reliability and validity of this evaluation form was confirmed by Montazeri et al. (10).

Demographic characteristics (age and gender) and the above mentioned criteria were recorded and were statistically analyzed using the SPSS software package for Windows version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
All quantitative numeric values are expressed as mean± standard deviation. The chi-square test was used to compare differences in the qualitative parameters. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare parametric continuous data among several groups, and the t test was employed to analyze parametric continuous data. In all statistical tests, the alpha level was set at 0.05.

Results

Of the 78 included patients (mean age: 35), 63 patients (81%) were male and 15 patients (19%) were female. Five patients (6%) had open fractures and 73 patients (94%) had closed fractures. In 75 patients, fractures occurred following an acute trauma and in three other patients pathological fractures occurred because of bony tumors. The most common mechanism of trauma among these patients was car to car accidents (33%) followed by motorcycle to car accidents (28%).

The open approach used in 53 patients (68%) and the closed approach in 25 patients (32%). The mean time of the procedure in the close method was 30 minutes versus 40 minutes in the open method. There were no significant differences between the approaches (P=0.135). Three patients with primary radial nerve palsy improved after surgery. Complications recorded during the follow-up visits were: one patient with malunion, one with secondary radial nerve palsy (a 29-year-old female with a segmental humeral fracture; radial function returned itself after 4 months), three patients with nail protrusion from the humeral head that caused decrease shoulder range of motion [Figure 1], one patient with elbow limited range of motion caused by entering the nail into the olecranon fossa [Figure 2], and eight patients with nonunion after six months. One was a 47-year-old male with a segmental fracture during a motorcycle collision with an automobile [Figure 3a]. Another patient was a 52-year-old female with multiple fractures and BMI>30 injured as a pedestrian an automobile accident [Figure 3b]. The third patient was a 40-year-old female with multiple fractures and diabetes type I, who was injured as a pedestrian in an automobile accident [Figure 3c]. All of the nonunion patients were treated with nail removal and bone plating with autogenic bone graft.

In visits A, B and C, the Constant shoulder score and SF-36 were used to evaluate all patients. The mean Constant shoulder score in visit A was 73, in visit B was 81, and in visit C was 89 among all patients. There was significant differences between the groups (P=0.016). Also, the mean SF-36 score in visit A was 77, in visit B was 82, and in visit C was 91 (P=0.017). Our findings showed that with the increase of age, shoulder subjective performance (SF-36 and constant shoulder scores) severely decreased and there was a reverse significant correlation (r SF-36, age=-0.417, P=0.005 r constant scores, age= -0.365 , P=0.014).

In both the SF-36 and constant shoulder scores, the lowest score obtained in those in the over 50 age group (P<0.001) and scores in female patients was lower than males (P<0.001). The results showed that the mean time of union in the closed approach was 85 days versus 102 days in the open method (P=0.03).
Discussion

The choice of operative treatment in the humeral shaft fracture depends on multiple factors: fracture indications, associated injuries, and patient indications (11, 12). The goal of the operative treatment of humeral shaft fractures is to reestablish length, alignment, and rotation with stable fixation that allows early motion and ideally early weight bearing on the fractured extremity (13-15).

In our study the constant shoulder score and average time for union was better than Firat et al.’s study (score of 82 versus score of 74 and 85-102 days versus 100 days respectively) (16). Moreover, Firat et al. reported that due to possible self-rotation of the nail, displacement of the nail or screw and hypoperfusion in the area of entering the nail happened in intramedullary nailing of the humerus. Also, the high risk of shoulder impingement syndrome and restriction of shoulder and elbow joints was observed, but in our study such complications were observed minimally or not at all. The reason for these differences is that in our study, proper length of the nail was determined before surgery on the basis of intact limb radiograph (pre-operation planning) and physiotherapy initiated immediately after surgery. On the other hand, we applied screws to stabilize and prevention nail rotation or nail displacement. Our good results were similar to several other studies (17-24).

Preoperative planning provides numerous benefits to a surgeon. Formal planning increases surgical efficiency and decreases stress in the operating room (25, 26). The length of the nail is carefully chosen and if too long of a nail is selected, one risk is distracting the fracture site as the nail impacts the tapered end of the humeral canal as it is advanced in an attempt to seat it below the tuberosity proximally. Leaving the nail proud proximally can canal as it is advanced in an attempt to seat it below the tuberosity proximally. Leaving the nail proud proximally will result in an increased incidence of impingement. So we used this method of measuring to improve our results and decrease patient complications.

As time passed, this study showed a progression in the SF-36 scores and constant shoulder score, indicating fractures and surgery complications progressively decreased. Infection incidence was reduced by medical treatment, radial nerve damage diminished and nerve function progressively returned to normal status. Also, function improved as well. By physiotherapy and limb muscle empowering, arm function improved as well.

The most common cause of shoulder movement restriction is the excess length of the nail that brings the risk of ejection from the humeral head. Therefore, selection of the precise length of the nail is very important to avoid such a disorder. It is recommended to hide the proximal head of the nail completely under the humeral head cartilage. Moreover, fluoroscope should be used carefully to control the point of nail insertion.

Taking a detailed history of shoulder pain, doing a thorough physical examination for range of shoulder movement, and performing the required radiography to assess previous pathology in the shoulder joint are recommended. Furthermore, physiotherapy immediately after the operation to obtain proper elbow and shoulder joint motion is necessary. Inserting the distal screw, conducting fluoroscopy for fracture site assessment and reduction are also mandatory.

The limitations of our study are that it is a descriptive one and there is no control group to compare the results. The present study had other limitations that might have influenced the outcomes as well, such as the sample size of 78 patients might not have been adequate for conclusive evidence of statistically significant differences between the scores. Our study was not a multi-centric one and it may weaken the generalizability of the study. Finally, we did not undertake any explanatory analyses. Instead, we suggested that the results of the present investigation could be useful in the development of further investigations.

Intramedullary nail fixation in the humeral shaft fracture may be associated with high rates of non-union. A clinical trial on this method is recommended.
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