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Reliability of Ultrasound Imaging of the Trunk Musculature in Athletes with and without Hamstring Injuries
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Abstract

Background: Trunk muscles play an important role in providing both mobility and stability during dynamic tasks in athletes. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the within-day and between-day reliability of ultrasound (US) in measuring abdominal and lumbar multifidus muscle (MF) thickness in athletes with and without hamstring strain injury (HSI).

Methods: Fifteen male soccer players (18-30 years old) with and without HSI were evaluated using two US probes (50 mm linear 7.5 MHz and 70 mm curvilinear 5 MHz). The abdominal muscle thickness as well as the cross sectional area (CSA) of the MF was measured. To determine within and between days reliabilities, the second and third measurements were repeated with two hours and one week intervals, respectively.

Results: Intraclass correlation coefficients for athletes with and without HSI demonstrated good to high reliability for the abdominal muscle thickness (0.82 and 0.93) and CSA of the MF muscle (0.84 and 0.89, respectively).

Conclusion: Our results indicated that US seemed to be a reliable instrument to measure abdominal and lumbar multifidus muscle thickness in soccer players with and without HSI. However, further studies are recommended to support the present study findings in other athletes.
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Introduction

Hamstring strain injury (HSI) is a commonly seen soft tissue injury in athletes doing sports activities with rapid acceleration and deceleration, such as soccer (1, 2). The rate of HSI has been shown from 12% to 16% for various sports, like soccer, Australian football, and other sports (3, 4). Following HSI, athletes may miss at least two to three games that it is often harmful physically and costly (5). Hamstring strain injury often occurs at the late of swing phase of kicking or sprinting when the knee is fully extended (6). Regarding to several risk factors in HSI, functional, anatomical, and morphological characteristics of the hamstring muscle partly demonstrate the causes of injury (7-10). As the biarticular nature of the hamstring muscle links this muscle to lumbopelvic region, screening the lumbopelvic muscles seems valuable to identify more related risk factors. Correct dynamic neuromuscular control and intact passive structures are necessary to create stability in
For abdominal muscle measurement (EO, IO, and TrA), participants were asked to lay on a padded wooden table in a supine hook-lying posture placing the hand beside the trunk, while locating the linear probe (7.5 MHZ) at the axial line among the iliac crest and the twelfth rib and moving to observe the muscle’s medial edge in the far medial of the screen (34) [Figure 1].

CSA of the MF was assessed in the prone posture (on an approved plinth for decreasing the lumbar curve) at the L5 level using a curvilinear 5 MHZ probe (35). The L5 spinous process was characterized through touching highlighted as the reference. The transducer was located transversely on the L5 spinous process followed by simultaneous measuring the left and right multifidus (36) [Figure 2].

The images of the two positions were fixed and saved at the end of the expiration in both sides (37). The US images of abdominal and MF muscles were prepared by a B-mode US imaging system (Ultrasonix-ES500, Canada) using two curvilinear and linear-array probes operating at 5 MHz and 7.5 MHz.

Statistical analyses
For assessing the within-day (between the 1st and 2nd assessments) and between-day (between the 1st and 3rd assessments) reliabilities, standard error of mean (SEM), intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC), and minimal detectable changes (MDC) were applied.

Results
The demographic characteristics of participants and the descriptive data (mean ± SD) of abdominal muscle...
(EO, IO, TrA) and MF muscle thickness in both sides are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The findings of within- and between-day reliability and the abdominal muscle and multifidus muscle thickness at rest in both sides are demonstrated in Tables 2 and 3. ICCs range of 0.88 to 0.94 confirmed high for within and between-day reliability. Also, SEM and MDC values suggested stability and high reliability of measuring width of abdominal and MF muscles in athletes with/without HSI.

**Discussion**

The present research aimed at assessing the reliability of abdominal and lumbar multifidus muscle size in soccer players with/without HSI using US. Our findings were consistent with other investigations that assessed the reliability of US in both normal and patient subjects and support the hypothesis that US is an appropriate tool for measuring the muscle thickness in soccer players (35, 38). Hides et al. indicated that real-time US imaging in comparison with MRI can be applied for documenting MF muscle size among young adults (39). Consistent with our results, the intrarater and interrater reliability of MF muscles in 10 subjects without low back pain have been shown to be high (36). Different ICC values between the present study and those by Wallwork et al. may be associated with different methods. The within- and between-day reliability was investigated in both normal subjects and patients, which is one of the strengths of the current research. The obtained SEM values are similar to those announced by Nabavi et al. (35). Also, SEM and MDC values suggested the stability and high reliability of measuring the abdominal and MF muscles width in athletes with/without HSI.

The reliability of EO, IO, and TrA muscles at rest seems to be high with ICC values from 0.86 to 0.93, which are consistent with other studies (35, 38, 40). The SEM for the EO, IO, and TrA was about 0.19 to 0.83 at rest. However,
Richman et al. indicated the reliability coefficient of 0.80 - 1.00 as high reliability, 0.60 - 0.79 as moderate reliability, and 0.59 or smaller as uncertain reliability, which confirm the findings of the present study to have a good to high reliabilities (41).

For improving the generalizability of the findings, further relevant studies using a longer interval, larger sample size and on female athletes are recommended. Also, it is suggested to evaluate the US reliability in measuring the other muscles among athletes. Comparison of various protocols and postures for finding the most reliable method of evaluating the abdominal muscle and MF muscle are also recommended. Small sample size and studying only male athletes can be considered as limitations of the study.

### Table 2. The Means (±SD) and ICC, SEM and MDC regarding within- and between-day measurements of the EO, IO, TrA, and MF muscles in male soccer players without HSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Evaluation side</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Within-day</th>
<th>Between-day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO (cm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>7.24 ± 1.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>6.84 ± 1.68</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO (cm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>11.18 ± 2.04</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11.02 ± 1.82</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrA (cm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>3.97 ± 1.75</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>3.96 ± 1.84</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF (cm²)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>9.25 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>9.24 ± 0.99</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 3. The Means (±SD) and ICC, SEM and MDC regarding within- and between-day measurements of the EO, IO, TrA and MF muscles in male soccer players with HSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Evaluation side</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Within-day</th>
<th>Between-day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO (cm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>5 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>6.01 ± 0.87</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO (cm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>9 ± 1.85</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>11.52 ± 1.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrA (cm)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>3.63 ± 1.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>3.09 ± 1</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF (cm²)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>7.58 ± 1.55</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>7.35 ± 1.03</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

present study. Rehabilitative US as a trusted and non-invasive approach can be employed in clinical setting for measuring muscle dimensions. The used technique in the present research was a trusted method for measuring trunk stabilizing muscles.
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