

1 **Anthropometric Measurements of the Proximal Tibia in Iranian knees:**
2 **Correlation to Sizing of Current Tibial Implants**

3
4
5 **Abstract**

6 **Background and objectives:** Clinical studies have reported that Asian knee anatomical
7 aspects are smaller than the Caucasian population. The purpose of this study was to
8 investigate morphometry of proximal tibia in standard resected surface of total knee
9 arthroplasty.

10 **Materials and methods:** In this descriptive research in 2015, anthropometric data of
11 proximal tibia were measured in 132 knees (80 males and 52 females) using magnetic
12 resonance imaging (MRI). Data included anteroposterior (AP) length, mediolateral (ML)
13 width, medial AP (MAP), lateral AP (LAP) and aspect ratio (ML/AP). The medial and lateral
14 AP distance to bone center was calculated for symmetry analysis. The morphometric data
15 were also compared with the same dimensions of four current tibial implants.

16 **Results:** The mean age of subjects was 38.26 ± 11.45 year (20-60 years). The mean AP
17 length and the mean ML width in the resected surface of bone as well as the mean aspect
18 ratio (ML/AP) of tibial bone in all subjects were respectively 46.53 ± 4.05 mm, 73.36 ± 6.86
19 mm and 1.58 ± 0.11 . The medial and lateral AP distance up to bone center were respectively
20 13.40 ± 6.17 mm and 17.09 ± 6.83 mm, indicating asymmetric proximal tibia in the study
21 population.

22 **Conclusion:** Measurements of anatomic shapes and dimensions of proximal tibial revealed
23 that women have smaller dimensions than their male counterparts. Prostheses with smaller
24 AP size tended to be undersized and larger AP size had tendency towards overhang in the

25 mediolateral dimension. Data and the results of this study can be used as a guide to design
26 tibial implant components suitable for total knee arthroplasty in Iranian population.

27 **Keywords:** Proximal Tibia, Knee, Implant, MRI, Morphometry

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **1- Introduction**

38 Total knee arthroplasty is a surgical procedure that requires high accuracy and the balance
39 should be established among resected soft tissue and bone surface with replaced prosthesis so
40 that there should be enough space for knee flexion and extension and the knee joint must be
41 stable in a wide range of motions (1-6).

42 Numbers of TKA surgeries with the aging population and the prevalence of obesity have
43 increased in the past two decades. The TKA surgery is currently one of the most common and
44 most costly medical procedures in the United States (7, 8).

45 In addition, the need for knee arthroplasty with the increase in life expectancy is projected to
46 elevate more than six fold by 2030 (9).

47 The TKA success rate greatly depends on the choice of prosthesis, exact size and correct site
48 of its components and the observance of these principles are crucial to the success and long-
49 term retention of prosthesis (10-12).

50 Various studies show that proper bone implant coating is effective in the successful
51 placement of tibial components in TKA surgery (4, 5, 13 and 14). To this end, there are needs
52 to anthropometric data on proximal tibia (5, 15 and 16).

53 Therefore, knowledge of anatomic and geometric values of body bones is one of the most
54 important issues in orthopedic surgery, which has a great impact on how to treat the various
55 problems and outcomes (17).

56 This study was performed to measure proximal tibia dimensions, assess the differences
57 between the male and female aspects, study the symmetry of tibial bone, compare the
58 dimensions measured with existing prosthetic systems and evaluate the fit of prosthesis with
59 knee morphological aspects among the Iranian population.

60

61

62 **2- Materials and methods**

63 This **prospective** descriptive study was carried out in 2015 on 132 knees (80 males and 52
64 females) in Imam Reza (AS) Hospital in Mashhad, Iran, using magnetic resonance imaging
65 (MRI).

66 All patients required to MRI due to knee pain, uncertainty of history and physical
67 examination according to scientific indications, having healthy knee in examination and in
68 the age range between 20 and 60 years were enrolled in the study. The patients with history
69 of advanced arthritis, previous fractures of proximal tibial and plateau, osteophytes and non-
70 natural lower limb alignment were excluded from the study.

71 Informed consent was obtained from all participants in this study. Research committee of
72 Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran, approved the presented proposal.

73 All measurements were recorded in millimeters using the Philips imaging software (Philips
74 DICOM Viewer, R3.0 SP3, 2013).

75

76 **2-1- Measurement of proximal tibia**

77 To simulate the proximal tibial cut with standard cutting for total knee arthroplasty, initially
78 in frontal plane 10-mm thickness was considered below the tibial plateau surface (Figure 1).

79 Then, the desired parameters were measured in axial plane.

80

81

82 **Fig 1- Frontal view of knee joint that 10-mm thickness is shown in proximal tibial surface**

83

84 According to the definition of Kwak et al. and Dai et al. a set of morphological metrics was
85 calculated (18,19), as follows:

86 **ML width:** ML dimension was taken as the longest mediolateral width of the resected
87 proximal tibia surface, drawn parallel and collinear to the surgical epicondylar axis of the
88 femur.

89 **AP Length:** The anteroposterior (AP) dimension was taken as the length of line drawn
90 perpendicular and passing through the midpoint of the mediolateral (ML) line.

91 **MAP and LAP:** Medial anteroposterior (MAP) dimension and lateral anteroposterior (LAP)
92 dimension were defined as the longest lines drawn parallel to the AP line and perpendicular
93 ML lines, which connect the most anterior and the most posterior parts in the medial and
94 lateral compartments, respectively, in the resected tibial surface.

95 **CM and CL:** The MAP and LAP distance to central point are called respectively medial to
96 centre distance (CM) and lateral to centre distance (CL).

97 **Plateau area:** overall, as well as for each compartment.

98 **Bounding Box area:** overall, as well as for each compartment.

99 **Aspect ratio:** The resected tibial plateau aspect ratio has been defined as ML/AP ratio, and
100 for each compartment (compartment aspect ratio) has been calculated respectively as
101 MAP/ML and LAP/ML in the medial and lateral compartments.

102

103 **Fig 2- Measurement method on the MRI; (A) medial anteroposterior (MAP) length, (B) anteroposterior**
104 **(AP) length, (C) lateral anteroposterior (LAP) length, (D) mediolateral width, (E) medial to centre**
105 **distance (CM), (F) lateral to centre distance (CL), (G) plateau area, (H) bounding box area**

106

107

108 **2-2- Statistical analysis**

109 Data were analyzed statistically using SPSS version 22 software. Descriptive statistics were
110 applied to measure the variables and age. In a comparison between the genders, t-test and
111 Mann-Whitney tests were used for normal and non-normal variables, respectively. Linear
112 regression was recruited to study the correlation between simulated bone cut and dimensions
113 of prostheses used in TKA surgery. The P value<0.05 was considered as a significant
114 difference.

115

116

117 **3- Results**

118 In total, 132 patients including 80 males (61%) and 52 females (39%) in the age range of 20
119 to 60 years were enrolled in the study.

120 **3-1- Proximal tibia**

121 All the study parameters were measured after the simulation of proximal tibia with TKA
122 surgical cut (Table 1).

123 The mean AP length and the mean ML width as well as the mean aspect ratio of tibial bone in
124 all subjects were respectively 46.53 ± 4.05 mm, 73.36 ± 6.86 mm and 1.58 ± 0.11 .

125 The mean MAP and the mean LAP were respectively 50.12 ± 4.88 mm and 48.70 ± 5.35 mm.

126 There was no significant difference for the variables of MAP/LAP, MAP/ML and LAP/ML
127 between males and females ($P>0.05$). Other variables were significantly higher in males
128 compared with females ($P<0.05$).

129

130 **Table 1- Average values of the proximal tibia morphology measurements.**

131

132

133 **3-2- Correlation of current tibial components and the resected proximal tibia**

134 The comparisons were conducted between the proximal tibial sizes obtained in this study
135 among Iranian population and prostheses including NexGen, Scorpio, Genesis II and
136 Aesculap.

137 The diagrams showed the relative correlation between the proximal tibia and tibial
138 components of the NexGen, Scorpio and Aesculap prostheses and low correlation with the
139 Genesis II prosthesis.

140 In terms of prosthesis fit between the genders, all prostheses showed mismatch in smaller and
141 larger AP values. In fact, implants with smaller AP size tended to be undersized and larger
142 AP size had tendency towards overhang in the mediolateral dimension (Figure 3).

143 In the diagrams comparing the aspect ratio (Figure 4), a glimpse into the slopes of
144 correlation indicated different trend between males and females.

145 In men's knee, a progressive decrease can be seen in the aspect ratio (ML/AP) by increasing
146 the size of AP. However, the aspect ratio in designing of most prosthesis is considered to be
147 fixed or is on the rise (18).

148 In women's knee, the aspect ratio (ML/AP) is almost constant with increasing the size of AP,
149 which is consistent with the prostheses design with fixed ratio.

150

151

152

153 **Fig 3- Correlations of resected tibial mediolateral (ML) width and anteroposterior (AP) length in 132**
154 **knees of males and females compared with the dimensions in four current tibial prostheses**

155

156

157

158 **Fig 4- The aspect ratio (ML/AP in %) and the anteroposterior dimension (AP) in 132 knees of males and**
159 **females compared with the dimensions of four current tibial prostheses**

160

161

162

163 **4- Discussion**

164 Due to the increasing TKA, we should seek approaches to raise longevity and retention of the
165 prosthesis. One of these methods is the design of prostheses fitted with the anthropometry of
166 any population.

167 Regarding the majority of the pieces are designed to fit with the anatomical features of
168 Western countries and the difference in the anatomical and morphological characteristics of
169 the lower limbs in Western and Asian communities according to various studies, so these
170 variations should be taken into account in the design of the pieces (17, 20 and 21).

171 In the present study, the AP and ML sizes were larger in males than in females, and this is
172 supported by other studies (18, 22-26).

173 In this study, when females and males were compared with the same AP length, we found
174 that females have smaller ML width.

175 Kwak et al. after adjusting the size of AP in the proximal tibial surface observed that females
176 have smaller ML width than in males (18).

177 Cheng et al. also reported that among females and males in the Chinese population with the
178 same AP length, the ML width and aspect ratio are larger in the males compare to females
179 (25).

180 In contrast, Lim et al. reported that among females and males with the same AP length,
181 females had larger ML width (23). The contradiction among the studies may be due to
182 differences in height among participants as well as imaging technique type.

183 In order to match the geometry of the components of the tibial prosthesis with the bone
184 surface, the symmetry of proximal tibial cut was discussed in this study (27-30).

185 The mean MAP and the mean LAP were respectively 50.12 ± 4.88 mm and 48.70 ± 5.35 mm as
186 well as the mean CL and the mean CM were respectively 17.09 ± 6.83 mm and 13.40 ± 6.17
187 mm, indicating asymmetric proximal tibia in the study population.

188 Although some authors have reported that asymmetric tibial components will fit better with
189 the bone surface, but some studies have pointed out that the tibial coating improved in
190 symmetric component design (27, 28). They believe that the asymmetrical models are not
191 readily available and asymmetric implant design makes double the inventory and the need for
192 operating room (18, 26).

193 Incavo et al. reported that the tibial coating improved in symmetric component design (5).
194 However, no study has compared the longevity and retention of the tibial component between
195 symmetric and asymmetric components designed (26).

196 In this study, the aspect ratio in males is greater in smaller knees. This explanation confirms
197 the findings of other Asian studies that have obtained such a decrease in the aspect ratio; but

198 unlike some studies, no significant changes in the aspect ratios is seen in females by
199 increasing the AP size (24, 26) (Figure 4).

200 The point to note is that in all the prostheses, the aspect ratio is almost constant or on the rise,
201 although the NexGen system is trying to be more diverse by providing two medial-lateral
202 sizes for an fixed anterior-posterior, but this type of prosthesis design is in contrast with the
203 our male population (18,26) (Figure 4).

204 In a study carried out by Hitt et al. and Moghtadaei et al. similar to our study, a progressive
205 decrease was observed in the aspect ratio of men by increasing the AP length (26,31).

206 In this study, the aspect ratio by increasing the AP length in women is almost constant,
207 implying a forecast for the oval-shaped implants (18). However, in the study by Uehara et al.
208 the aspect ratio was increased in females; and in studies by Moghtadaei et al. and Kwak et al.
209 the aspect ratio was reduced in women by increasing the AP length (18,22,26).

210 Distribution of measured value indicates that there are different ML sizes for a given value of
211 AP (18, 27-29, 32). To overcome the possible mismatch and better coating, it is suggested
212 few or at least two sizes of ML available for an AP size (26).

213

214

215

216 **5- Conclusion**

217 Measurement of proximal tibial anatomic shapes and dimensions in the studied population
218 showed that women have smaller dimensions compared to their male counterparts. By taking
219 a fixed AP size in both genders, we found that women have smaller mediolateral size than in
220 men, so prosthesis made for women should have smaller mediolateral width for a fixed
221 anteroposterior length.

222 In addition, for better fit of prosthesis with tibial plateau surface, the medial AP length is
223 better to be larger than the lateral AP length.

224 Determine the morphological differences in tibial bone in resected surface can improve
225 perception of anatomical variation in these bones and potentially provide a basis for
226 understanding the differences in clinical outcomes.

227 The findings of this study can be used as a guide to select the most appropriate prosthesis
228 prior to surgery.

229

230

231

232 **6- Suggestions**

233 1- Our sample had normal knees and relatively young; consequently, our results may not be
234 suitable for people with degenerative arthritis candidate for TKA surgery. Therefore, it is
235 suggested to be added some elderly people in Iranian studies.

236 2- We did not measure two height and weight variables as independent factors in dimensions
237 of the proximal tibia; future studies should cover these issues.

238 3- Given the vastness of Iran and the existence of various tribes and races as well as the
239 importance of morphological and anthropometric indices of tibial bone, this study is
240 suggested to be done more widely and in other places with larger population.

241

242 **Disclosure**

243 Authors report no conflict of interest.

244

245

246 **References**

- 247 1.Laskin RS. Total condylar knee replacement in rheumatoid arthritis. A review of one
248 hundred and seventeen knees. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1981;63(1):29-35.
- 249 2.Dorr LD, Boiardo RA. Technical considerations in total knee arthroplasty. *Clinical*
250 *orthopaedics and related research.* 1986;205:5-11.
- 251 3.Wang S, Feng C, Lu H. A study of Chinese knee joint geometry for prosthesis design.
252 *Chinese medical journal.* 1992;105(3):227-33.
- 253 4.Insall JN. Surgical techniques and instrumentation in total knee arthroplasty. *Surgery of the*
254 *knee.* 1993:739-804.
- 255 5.Incavo SJ, Ronchetti PJ, Howe JG, Tranowski JP. Tibial plateau coverage in total knee
256 arthroplasty. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research.* 1994;299:81-5.
- 257 6.Insall JN, Dorr LD, Scott RD, Scott WN. Rationale of the Knee Society clinical rating
258 system. *Clin Orthop relat res.* 1989;248(248):13-4.
- 259 7.Ravi B, Croxford R, Reichmann WM, Losina E, Katz JN, Hawker GA. The changing
260 demographics of total joint arthroplasty recipients in the United States and Ontario from 2001
261 to 2007. *Best practice & research Clinical rheumatology.* 2012;26(5):637-47.
- 262 8.Cram P, Lu X, Kates SL, Singh JA, Li Y, Wolf BR. Total knee arthroplasty volume,
263 utilization, and outcomes among Medicare beneficiaries, 1991-2010. *Jama.*
264 2012;308(12):1227-36.
- 265 9.De La Mata J. Platelet rich plasma. A new treatment tool for the rheumatologist?
266 *Reumatología Clínica (English Edition).* 2013;9(3):166-71.
- 267 10.Goldberg VM, Figgie 3rd H, Figgie M. Technical considerations in total knee surgery.
268 Management of patella problems. *The Orthopedic clinics of North America.* 1989;20(2):189-
269 99.

270 11.RANAWAT CS. The patellofemoral joint in total condylar knee arthroplasty: pros and
271 cons based on five-to ten-year follow-up observations. *Clinical orthopaedics and related*
272 *research*. 1986;205:93-9.

273 12.Fehring TK, Valadie AL. Knee instability after total knee arthroplasty. *Clinical*
274 *orthopaedics and related research*. 1994;299:157-62.

275 13.Bindelglass DF, Cohen JL, Dorr LD. Current principles of design for cemented and
276 cementless knees. *Techniques in Orthopaedics*. 1991;6(4):80-5.

277 14.Lemaire P, Pioletti DP, Meyer F-M, Meuli R, Dörfl J, Leyvraz P-F. Tibial component
278 positioning in total knee arthroplasty: bone coverage and extensor apparatus alignment. *Knee*
279 *Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*. 1997;5(4):251-7.

280 15.Westrich GH, Haas SB, Insall JN, Frachie A. Resection specimen analysis of proximal
281 tibial anatomy based on 100 total knee arthroplasty specimens. *The Journal of arthroplasty*.
282 1995;10(1):47-51.

283 16.Westrich GH, Agulnick MA, Laskin RS, Haas SB, Sculco TP. Current analysis of tibial
284 coverage in total knee arthroplasty. *The Knee*. 1997;4(2):87-91.

285 17.Nagamine R, Miura H, Bravo CV, Urabe K, Matsuda S, Miyanishi K, et al. Anatomic
286 variations should be considered in total knee arthroplasty. *Journal of orthopaedic science*.
287 2000;5(3):232-7.

288 18.Kwak DS, Surendran S, Pengatteeeri YH, Park SE, Choi KN, Gopinathan P, et al.
289 Morphometry of the proximal tibia to design the tibial component of total knee arthroplasty
290 for the Korean population. *The Knee*. 2007;14(4):295-300.

291 19.Dai Y, Bischoff JE. Comprehensive assessment of tibial plateau morphology in total knee
292 arthroplasty: influence of shape and size on anthropometric variability. *Journal of*
293 *Orthopaedic Research*. 2013;31(10):1643-52.

294 20. Harvey WF, Niu J, Zhang Y, McCree PI, Felson DT, Nevitt M, et al. Knee alignment
295 differences between Chinese and Caucasian subjects without osteoarthritis. *Annals of the*
296 *rheumatic diseases*. 2008;67(11):1524-8.

297 21. Cooke DT, Harrison L, Khan B, Scudamore A, Chaudhary AM. Analysis of limb
298 alignment in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis: a comparison of Saudi Arabian and Canadian
299 cases. *Rheumatology international*. 2002;22(4):160-4.

300 22. Uehara K, Kadoya Y, Kobayashi A, Ohashi H, Yamano Y. Anthropometry of the
301 proximal tibia to design a total knee prosthesis for the Japanese population. *The Journal of*
302 *arthroplasty*. 2002;17(8):1028-32.

303 23. Lim H-C, Bae J-H, Yoon J-Y, Kim S-J, Kim J-G, Lee J-M. Gender differences of the
304 morphology of the distal femur and proximal tibia in a Korean population. *The Knee*.
305 2013;20(1):26-30.

306 24. Chaichankul C, Tanavalee A, Itiravivong P. Anthropometric measurements of knee joints
307 in Thai population: correlation to the sizing of current knee prostheses. *The Knee*.
308 2011;18(1):5-10.

309 25. Cheng FB, Ji XF, Lai Y, Feng JC, Zheng WX, Sun YF, et al. Three dimensional
310 morphometry of the knee to design the total knee arthroplasty for Chinese population. *The*
311 *Knee*. 2009;16(5):341-7.

312 26. Moghtadaei M, Moghimi J, Farahini H, Jahansouz A. Morphology of proximal tibia in
313 Iranian population and its correlation with available prostheses. *Medical journal of the*
314 *Islamic Republic of Iran*. 2015;29:225.

315 27. Stulberg BN, Dornbrowski RM, Froimson M, Easley K. Computed tomography analysis
316 of proximal tibial coverage. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research*. 1995;311:148-56.

317 28. Wevers H, Simurda M, Griffin M, Tarrel J. Improved fit by asymmetric tibial prosthesis
318 for total knee arthroplasty. *Medical engineering & physics*. 1994;16(4):297-300.

319 29. Bindelglass DF, Dorr LD. Current concepts review: symmetry versus asymmetry in the
320 design of total knee femoral components—an unresolved controversy. The Journal of
321 arthroplasty. 1998;13(8):939-44.

322 30. Harwin SF. Patellofemoral complications in symmetrical total knee arthroplasty. The
323 Journal of arthroplasty. 1998;13(7):753-62.

324 31. Hitt K, Shurman JR, Greene K, McCarthy J, Moskal J, Hoeman T, et al. Anthropometric
325 measurements of the human knee: correlation to the sizing of current knee arthroplasty
326 systems. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85(suppl 4):115-22.

327 32. Hussain F, Abdul Kadir MR, Zulkifly AH, Sa'at A, Aziz AA, Hossain MG, et al.
328 Anthropometric measurements of the human distal femur: a study of the adult Malay
329 population. BioMed research international. 2013 Nov 5;2013.

330

331 **Figure legends**

332 **Fig 1- Frontal view of knee joint that 10-mm thickness is shown in proximal tibial surface.**

333

334 **Fig 2- Measurement method on the MRI; (A) medial anteroposterior (MAP) length, (B) anteroposterior**
335 **(AP) length, (C) lateral anteroposterior (LAP) length, (D) mediolateral width, (E) medial to centre**
336 **distance (CM), (F) lateral to centre distance (CL), (G) plateau area, (H) bounding box area.**

337

338 **Fig 3- Correlations of resected tibial mediolateral (ML) width and anteroposterior (AP) length in 132**
339 **knees of males and females compared with the dimensions in four current tibial prostheses.**

340

341 **Fig 4- The aspect ratio (ML/AP in %) and the anteroposterior dimension (AP) in 132 knees of males and**
342 **females compared with the dimensions of four current tibial prostheses.**

343

344

345

346 **Table 1- Average values of the proximal tibia morphology measurements.**

347

348