Various Dosages of BMP-2 for Management of Massive Bone Defect in Sprague Dawley Rat
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Abstract

Background: BMP-2 has a crucial role in the treatment of extensive bone defect. However, data about the optimal dosage of BMP-2 in the massive bone defect cases is rare.

Methods: Twenty-five SD rats were randomly allocated into a control group of hydroxyapatite (HA) alone (Group I), HA+BMP-2 1µg/mL (Group II), HA+BMP-2 5 ug/mL (Group III), HA+BMP-2 10 µg/mL (Group IV), and HA+BMP-2 20 ug/mL (Group V). Osteotomies were performed in each group with 10 mm bone defect in the right femur, followed by fixation and filling the defect. The fracture healing was evaluated by histomorphometry, and radiographs using RUST score.

Results: We found there were significant differences in the mean total area of callus between the treatment groups (P<0.001); there were significant differences in the mean area of woven bone between group II, III, IV, and V with the control group (respectively P=0.009, P=0.016, P=0.009 and P=0.016), the area of the cartilage between the treatment groups and control group (respectively P=0.009, P=0.009, P=0.009 and P=0.028). A statistically significant difference was found in the average area of fibrosis between group II and control group, group IV and control group (respectively P=0.047 and P=0.009). RUST scores showed significant differences between the control group and group II, III, IV, V (respectively P=0.005, P=0.006, P=0.005 and P=0.006).

Conclusion: The administration of BMP-2 stimulates the formation of bone bridging in a massive bone defect. The bone bridging filling massive bone defect depends on the dose or concentration of BMP-2. Administration of an optimal dose (10 µg/mL) of BMP-2 demonstrates better result than lower or higher dose for massive bone defect healing in SD rate.

Level of evidence: II
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Introduction

Management of massive bone defect has been a challenging problem for orthopedic surgeons (1, 2). Besides the complexity of treatment regiments, massive bone defect also has a significant morbidity on the long run (2). Currently, there are various interventions available for orthopedic surgeons in managing massive bone defect, such as autograft, allograft, and transplantation with synthetic bone substitutes (3). Autograft remains the gold standard for managing massive bone defect, however graft substitutes
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and/or delivery of osteoinductive proteins, for example bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) family, are other considerable alternatives with proven efficacy in bone regeneration (1, 3).

There have been reports concerning the disadvantages of autograft transplantation such as the risk of disease transmission, poor osteoinductivity, incomplete or delayed graft incorporation and potential for eliciting a deleterious immune response (3). In order to avoid these difficulties regarding autograft and allograft, several synthetic bone substitutes, for instance, calcium phosphate cement, hydroxyapatite (HA) and biodegradable polymers have been developed (4, 5). Furthermore, the above mentioned synthetic bone substitutes, deliver advantages in the aspect of availability, sterility and reduced morbidity at the graft site (3).

Special skills and novel techniques along with recent knowledge are necessary for creating an effective healing (2). Although new advances in technology have broadened the alternative treatment strategies, these recent advances have been dreary, complicated and sometimes non-feasible (4, 5).

In cases of critically sized (massive) bone defect where the osteoinductive and osteoconductive components have been lost, a good bone regeneration properties could not be achieved without the application of osteogenic and osteoinductive materials (5). There are three complementary elements in the process of bone healing and these are: osteoconductive matrix, osteoinductive signal and osteogenic cells when combined together with mechanical fixation could enhance a positive osteoinductive and adequate blood flow (6).

Example of an osteoinductive agent that could be rapidly absorbed is bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) and demineralized bone matrix (DBM) (2,4). The application of BMP-2 in a massive bone defect management plays a vital role in the proliferation, differentiation and inhibition of various cells acting in the microcellular environment and interacts with many regulatory factors (7-9). BMP-2 plays a role in the process of osteogenesis and chondrogenesis and inhibits osteoclastogenesis via the RANKL signaling (10). Several studies have suggested the increase of BMP-2 doses will accelerate the rate of bone healing up to an optimum dose which will go into plateau (4-6). This study aims to evaluate the effect of differences in various BMP-2 doses on the healing of the fracture with massive bone defects.

**Materials and Methods**

This is an experimental study in white Spraque Dawley (SD) rats with post-test control group design. All procedures conducted in the study were permitted by the ethical commission in our institution. The SD rats were aged 3-4 months, weighed 250-350 grams, were of male gender, and had no physical disability. Twenty-five SD rats were randomly allocated into five different groups. Group I (control group) went through segmental osteotomy of the femur which resulted in 10 mm bone defect, followed by internal fixation with K-wire and application of HA to fulfill the bone defect. Similar procedures were conducted in group II to group V with additional administration of 1 mL rhBMP-2 in each group with 1 ug/mL, 5 ug/mL, 10 ug/mL, and 20 ug/mL dosage to HA for group II-V respectively at the bone defect site [Figure 1].

**Surgical procedures**

The SD rats were anesthetized using an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine 80 mg/kg body weight and xylazine 10 mg/kg body weight. Through anterolateral approach, biceps femoris and vastus lateralis muscles were retracted from femoral bone, however the periosteum was maintained intact. Segmental osteotomy with its periosteum of 10 mm in length was performed using a manual saw at mid-diaphysis of the femur. Additionally, through the intercondylar femur, a retrograde intramedullary 1.4 mm Kirschner (K) wire was used to fixate the osteotomy site. Granules of HA were administered at the bone defect area. The fascia and skin were sutured. Paracetamol with dose of 50 mg/kg/day for analgesic and ampicillin with dose of 100 mg/kg/day for prophylactic antibiotic were given for three days.

**Radiographic examination**

Radiographic examination was conducted with E7239X Rotanode Toshiba X-ray machine serial number 2A009, with a maximum exposure of 125 kV and 500 mA. Moreover, the exposure of X-ray on ventrodorsal and laterolateral projection in this study was 52 kV and 6.4 mA for 400 ms. RUST score was used to perform radiological evaluation [Table 1]. Each score in the cortex (anterior, posterior, medial, lateral) was combined to a total score of 12 (fully healed) and 4 (not yet healed).

**Histomorphometry**

In the period of six weeks, the rats were sacrificed and the right femur was obtained immediately. The harvested femur, with the K-wire still maintained, was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 48 hours. They were decalcified with Plank Rychlo’s solution (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Osaka, Japan). These samples were embedded in paraffin and cut transversely with a microtome for 5 μm thickness section for six times with an interval of 300 nm before being stained with hematoxylin-eosin. They were examined with a Leica microsystems IC 50 HD microscope with magnification of 40x.

The histological imageries were collected with a digital microscope camera and merged using the help of PTGui Pro 9.1 software for digital evaluation. Histomorphometry evaluation included the evaluation of the total area of callus, the area of ossification, cartilage and fibrosis [Figure 2]. Determination of each area was conducted manually using Image J version 1.4 software.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 21 with
Kruskal-Wallis or One Way ANOVA analysis. A *P*-value less than 0.05 implies that the differences between the means were statistically significant.

Results
In the radiographic evaluation of RUST score, Kruskal Wallis test revealed a significant difference among the groups with *P*-value of 0.001 [Table 2]. Mann Whitney test revealed a significant difference between group II and I, group III and I, group IV and I, and also group V and I with a *P*-value of 0.005; 0.006; 0.005 and 0.006 respectively. Group IV had the highest mean RUST score 11.6 while group I as a control had the lowest RUST score which was 4.4 [Figure 3].

By using the Image J software, we evaluated the area of total callus, ossification, cartilage and fibrosis [Table 3]. Group IV (10 µg/mL) had the largest total area of callus 57.8 mm², the area of ossification 52.5 mm² and area of cartilage 4.2 mm² [Figure 4]. Meanwhile, group I had the smallest total area of callus, the area of ossification and area of cartilage which were 15.8 mm², 6.7 mm², and 0.4 mm² respectively. ANOVA test showed a significant difference in the total area of callus area with a *P*-value of 0.001. The Bonferroni post hoc test revealed a significant difference between group II, III, IV, V and I with a *P*-value of 0.033; 0.001; 0.001; and 0.017 respectively.

In the area of ossification, Kruskal Wallis test revealed a significant difference among all groups with 0.001 *P*-value. Mann Whitney test revealed a significant difference between group II and I (control group), group III and I, group IV and I, and also group V and I with a
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Figure 1. Surgical procedures. A) K-wire fixation after 10 mm osteotomy; B) HA and BMP-2 administration.

Figure 2. RUST score for each groups. Group IV had the highest mean RUST score (11.6) while group I as control had the lowest RUST score which was 4.4.
Table 3. Histomorphometry of all groups evaluated using Image J

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Total Callus Area</th>
<th>Area of Ossification</th>
<th>Area of Cartilage</th>
<th>Area of Fibrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD (mm²)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (mm²)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15.8±4.3</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>6.7±3.9</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µg</td>
<td>28.1±6.2</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>22.1±7.4</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µg</td>
<td>36.3±4.9</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>28.6±5.9</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µg</td>
<td>57.8±7</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>52.5±5.8</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 µg</td>
<td>29.2±6.4</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>24.7±8</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Histomorphometry evaluation included the evaluation of the total area of callus, the area of ossification, cartilage and fibrosis. A) Group I; B Group II; C) Group III; D) group IV and E) Group V.

Discussion

The complicated physiological fracture healing process consists of three phases: the inflammatory phase, repair, and remodeling. It needs cooperation among some factors such as cells, growth factors, the interaction of extracellular matrix, differentiation factors, and cytokines. All of the above are controlled mainly by the expression of members of the TGF-β (transforming growth factor) super family, such as BMPs (10-16). BMP-2 has an important role affecting chondrogenesis, osteogenesis, and re-vascularization process. BMP-2 also affects the formation of fibrotic tissue minimally and accelerates the progression of maturation and callus remodeling (10).

BMP has the potency to induce mesenchymal stem fibrosis area (8.7 mm²) while the lowest area of fibrosis was found ingroup IV (1 mm²).
cell (MSC) differentiation into osteoblast, maintaining its maturity and enhancing endochondral ossification which includes monocyte and MSC recruitment and proliferation, MSC differentiation into chondrocyte, chondrocyte hypertrophy, cartilage matrix calcification, vascular invasion with osteoblast differentiation and bone formation to eventually new bone remodeling and bone marrow creation (17-19). In the process of callus formation, BMP-2 plays a role in the initial process so that the provision of BMP-2 in this study are given in the early phase (20-24). In this study, administration of rhBMP-2 produces extensive callus thereby creating a bridge between proximal and distal osteotomy. Groups treated by rhBMP-2 had larger total callus, ossification, and cartilage, but a smaller area of fibrosis.

Sasso et al. mentioned that BMP has osteoinductive property in massive bone defect healing (25). A study conducted using animal concerning segmental bone defect resulted in the knowledge that BMP promoted a similar or better result compared to autologous bone graft (26). Cuomo et al. reported that the inclusion of rhBMP-2 as an osteoinductive component generated more effective MSC differentiation by giving signal to the cells which produce complete healing rates (100%) (26). In addition, Kamal et al. reported that application of rhBMP-2 accelerated the healing process, averted implant failure and better bridging callus (27).

Dose or concentration of BMP-2 is an important aspect of bone formation. Moreover, in order to induce ectopic bone formation, dose of BMP-2 depends on the type of carrier material used (28). Tazaki et al. reported by using 5 μg of BMP-2 with a 9 mm³ β-tricalcium phosphate scaffold, 32% bone formation is produced, whilst HA only yielded 3% bone formation in a rat ectopic model with equal amount of BMP-2 (29).

In this study, various rhBMP-2 dosages influenced the total area of callus, ossification and cartilage. The increasing doses of BMP-2 significantly increase the formation of callus, bone (ossification) and cartilage. However, if it goes beyond the optimal dose (in this study 10 μg/mL) it would eventually decrease in the formation of callus, bone (ossification) and cartilage. In other words, the 20 μg/mL rhBMP-2 (group V) which actually resulted in counter-productive effects or biphasic dose dependant response (30).

Cheng et al. reported that, in comparison of 10 mg BMP-2 dose, 1 mg dose samples qualitatively exhibited a higher local iNOS expression within the bone defect (1). This suggests that treating defects with 1 mg dose of BMP-2 presented a prolonged local inflammation, that resulted into poor healing in these samples. Cheng et al. also reported that 1 mg BMP-2 dose samples qualitatively seemed to have higher local iNOS expression compared with the 10 mg dose samples (1). This indicates that the defects treated with 1 mg BMP-2 exhibited prolonged inflammation locally, which may have contributed to the poor healing observed in these samples. Overall, the degree of ectopic bone was much lower than that observed in a previous study, involving a higher dose of BMP-2. In addition, the low-dose BMP-2 samples demonstrated only few sparse islands of new bone formation surrounded by mostly
fibrous tissue with cellular infiltrate (1).

Our evaluation also revealed 10 μg (group IV) more dense and more homogenous callus microstructure compared to group III and V. Thus, group IV showed to encourage bone formation with mineral content close to that of the cortical bone. The resemblance in the mineral microstructures between group III and V suggests a comparable progression in the mineralization. A previous study observed that the calculated ideal dose of rhBMP-2 is 12 μg, greater or less than that value, leads to less bone stimulation. Further more higher doses of rhBMP-2 could lead to a reduction in bone content by the activation of osteoclasts (30). Boyce et al. examined two separate doses of rhBMP-2 but did not notice any difference in the cure rate between the two groups (31). Jones et al. also stated a decrease or plateauing in histological examination of callus at a higher BMP-2 dosage although it was not significant (32). Sciadini et al. also stated that there was a certain individual optimal dosage for BMP-2 in each species (33).

However, administration of BMP-2 in the treatment groups produced a smaller area of fibrosis compared to the control group. This statement is coherent with the study that we previously conducted that showed the fewer area of fibrosis in the group given BMP-2 (27). We assumed that BMP-2 has an important role in all phases of fracture healing and improves the process of osteogenesis and chondrogenesis.

We found that the least area of fibrosis was in group IV compared with group II, III, and V. Group I turned up to progress into a critical sized non-union model. This finding is comparable with other studies (30). Theoretically, HA and bone mineral fraction have similarity regarding chemical composition. It may stimulate bone growth and fill a bone defect. HA is a non-resorbable scaffold, and it is considered as a fine substrate for proliferation, adhesion and differentiation of osteoblasts and osteoclasts. Additionally, differentiated cells would create extracellular matrix and integrated with the host tissue (34-38). Nevertheless, according to several researchers, HA when exposed with bone marrow and soft tissue may initiate osteolysis. The HA debris stimulates phagocytosis (by macrophage), which apparently led to implant failure. Consequently there will be an inflammatory process that triggers differentiation of osteoclast precursor into mature osteoclast that causes bone remodeling impairment and concludes to osteolysis (34). In this study, all rats from group I had non union and failure fixation.

We only evaluated after 6 weeks of treatment and we could not evaluate the progress of dynamic fracture healing, which is the limitation of this study. Hence, further study at different periods of time is needed. Another limitation is the biomechanic evaluation of fracture healing was also needed.

Administration of BMP-2 stimulates the formation of bone bridging in a massive bone defect. The bone bridging filling massive bone defect depends on the dose or concentration of BMP-2. Administration of an optimal dose (10 μg/mL) of BMP-2 demonstrates better result than lower or higher dose for massive bone defect healing in SD rate.
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