

1 **Introduction**

2 Chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMPs) has been reported as a common occurrence and
3 poses therapeutic and prognostic challenges for orthopedic surgeons (1). A wide variety of
4 population from students to debilitating disease are susceptible to this problem (2, 3). The related
5 studies show that patients with chronic musculoskeletal have a degraded level of life quality (4),
6 as well as higher level of depression & anxiety (5). The Knee, shoulder and spinal column have
7 been identified as the most frequent sites of musculoskeletal pain (6-8).

8 Numerous clinical practice guidelines exist that are applicable for the management of
9 chronic musculoskeletal pain in primary healthcare. According to these guidelines, CMPs
10 management has different content sections. Most important sections of this process are
11 pharmacological therapy (opioid & non opioid), physical therapy & psychologically based
12 therapy(1).

13 Physical therapy & orthoses have been suggested as independent methods for different
14 musculoskeletal pain management (9). Physiotherapy usually involves a multimodal approach to
15 that may include but not limited to physical agent modalities, exercise, manual therapy, massage
16 and other techniques to reduce pain (10). Heat, cold, and vibration are common used physical
17 agent modalities that are pleasant and well tolerated by the patients (11). Heat application in
18 physical medicine includes musculoskeletal conditions (tendonitis, tenosynovitis, capsulitis,
19 bursitis, etc.), pain (12) (neck, low back, myofascial, neuromas, postherpetic, neuralgia, etc.),
20 arthritis, contracture, muscle relaxation and chronic inflammation. Some of clinical applications
21 of cold are musculoskeletal conditions (sprains, strains, tendonitis, etc.), after certain orthopedic
22 surgeries, component of spasticity management, emergency treatment of minor burns. Vibration
23 is also used for pain management, relaxation, and recently for osteoporosis treatment.

24 On the other hand, orthoses (braces) are worn over a part of the body in order to help pain
25 reduction. They can be used with or without other treatments such as non-steroidal anti-
26 inflammatory medications or exercise. According to the best of our knowledge, there is no
27 orthosis that can be used for simultaneous thermo/vibration therapy.

28 **Technical note**

29 The structure of proposed system is shown in the figure1. The system controller and
30 electrical parts can be kept the same, while the orthosis shape is changed in order to fit to
31 different body joints. The system consists of:

- 32 1- Knee orthosis include temperature sensor, heater/cooler/vibrator module.
- 33 2- Back orthosis include temperature sensor, heater/cooler/vibrator module.
- 34 3- Shoulder orthosis include temperature sensor, heater/cooler/vibrator module.
- 35 4- Wrist orthosis include temperature sensor, heater/cooler/vibrator module.
- 36 5- Temperature sensor and heater module which is embedded inside 1, 2, 3, and 4.
- 37 6- Control box.
- 38 7- Display unit.
- 39 8- Keyboard unit.

- 1 9- Main power supply and battery charger unit.
- 2 10- Battery unit.
- 3 11- The related electronic board which is placed inside 6.

4 The amount of required temperature/vibration can be programmed by user through the keyboard
5 unit. The environment temperature is monitored by the control box from the sensor with 0.1°C
6 resolution. So based on the setting, the heater/cooler and vibrator will be powered on or off. The
7 actual temperature of the orthosis is shown to patient in real-time mode as well.

8 Compared to usual braces, a number of advantages can be pointed out for the proposed device:

- 9 1- The portability of device lets the patient to gain the beneficial effects of heat, cold, and
10 vibration in any place during normal daily life, not only in a physiotherapy clinic.
- 11 2- Based on its user-friendly design, it can be worn and adjusted by the patient. We believe
12 it can be as a part of home-based rehabilitation for musculoskeletal pain in near future.
- 13 3- There are several precautions for the use of heat in the clinics. Superficial heat has
14 sedative effects and the client is typically not directly supervised when this modality is
15 used, so hot packs are among the more common causes of burns in physical therapy. In
16 the proposed device, the temperature is controlled by a sensor in $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$, moreover, the
17 pick temperature is closed at 45°C , so the risk of patient burning is minimal.
- 18 4- Primary sample of the proposed orthosis maintains its battery charge around 1 hour
19 (twice more than it is needed for a usual heat therapy session). Obviously, by using more
20 powerful batteries, it would be possible to prolong its working time.
- 21 5- The device seems to be very cost effective. A course of physiotherapy (10 sessions) at a
22 private clinic costs more than 100\$, while the price of an orthosis in commercial scale
23 production would be less expensive. Moreover, the orthosis can be used several times.
- 24 6- This orthosis can play an important role in research, where the effect of different hot,
25 cold, and vibration modalities are in the center of interest.

26 Obviously, the optimal temperature range and/or vibration frequency is variable from patient to
27 patient according to the background diseases and his tolerance. The orthosis is designed to set the
28 temperature of skin from 33-to- 42°C /8-to- 17°C in warm/cold mode respectively. According to
29 the best of our knowledge, it is enough to cover most of clinical situations (13, 14). Frequencies
30 of vibration can be adjusted in the range of 0.1-to-10Hz.

31 It should be mentioned that the weight of first developed version, which has been made
32 for lumbosacral support, is only about 220 grams. The first prototype has been developed in
33 “Biomedical Instrumentation” lab, Faculty of Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad.

34 Discussion

35 The orthosis will be tested as a pilot study in limited number of volunteers to determine
36 any possible adverse effect in near future. The orthosis also needs to be tested on more clients
37 after the study will be approved by local ethical committee and results need to be taken into
38 account after using larger sample sizes. In this case, we will be able to adjust the orthosis

- 1 parameters for maximum accuracy/efficiency. The results should also be compared to the
- 2 existing orthoses.

1 **References**

- 2 1. Ernstzen DV, Louw QA, Hillier SL. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of chronic
3 musculoskeletal pain in primary healthcare: a systematic review. *Implement Sci.* 2017;12(1):1.
- 4 2. Movahhed T, Ajami B, Soltani M, Shakeri MT, Dehghani M. Musculoskeletal pain reports among
5 Mashhad dental students, Iran. *Pak J Biol Sci.* 2013;16(2):80-5.
- 6 3. ShayestehAzar M, Kariminasab MH, Saravi MS, Abedini M, Fazli M, Hashemi SA, et al. A Survey
7 of Severity and Distribution of Musculoskeletal Pain in Multiple Sclerosis Patients; a Cross-Sectional
8 Study. *Arch Bone Jt Surg.* 2015;3(2):114-8.
- 9 4. Perez C, Margarit C, Isabel SM, de Antonio A, Jesus V. Chronic Pain Features Relate to Quality of
10 Life More than Physiopathology: A Cross-Sectional Evaluation in Pain Clinics. *Pain Pract.* 2016.
- 11 5. Crofford LJ. Psychological aspects of chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Best Pract Res Clin*
12 *Rheumatol.* 2015;29(1):147-55.
- 13 6. Cimmino MA, Ferrone C, Cutolo M. Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Best Pract Res*
14 *Clin Rheumatol.* 2011;25(2):173-83.
- 15 7. Ebrahimzadeh MH, Birjandinejad A, Golhasani F, Moradi A, Vahedi E, Kachooei AR. Cross-cultural
16 adaptation, validation, and reliability testing of the Shoulder Pain and Disability Index in the Persian
17 population with shoulder problems. *Int J Rehabil Res.* 2015;38(1):84-7.
- 18 8. Kachooei AR, Ebrahimzadeh MH, Erfani-Sayyar R, Salehi M, Salimi E, Razi S. Short Form-McGill
19 Pain Questionnaire-2 (SF-MPQ-2): A Cross-Cultural Adaptation and Validation Study of the Persian
20 Version in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. *Arch Bone Jt Surg.* 2015;3(1):45-50.
- 21 9. Vaishya R, Pariyo GB, Agarwal AK, Vijay V. Non-operative management of osteoarthritis of the
22 knee joint. *J Clin Orthop Trauma.* 2016;7(3):170-6.
- 23 10. Akyuz G, Kenis O. Physical therapy modalities and rehabilitation techniques in the management
24 of neuropathic pain. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2014;93(3):253-9.
- 25 11. Cetin N, Aytar A, Atalay A, Akman MN. Comparing hot pack, short-wave diathermy, ultrasound,
26 and TENS on isokinetic strength, pain, and functional status of women with osteoarthritic knees: a
27 single-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;87(6):443-51.
- 28 12. Petrofsky JS, Laymon MS, Alshammari FS, Lee H. Use of Low Level of Continuous Heat as an
29 Adjunct to Physical Therapy Improves Knee Pain Recovery and the Compliance for Home Exercise in
30 Patients With Chronic Knee Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2016;30(11):3107-
31 15.
- 32 13. Myrer JW, Measom G, Durrant E, Fellingham GW. Cold- and hot-pack contrast therapy:
33 subcutaneous and intramuscular temperature change. *Journal of athletic training.* 1997;32(3):238-41.
- 34 14. Scarcella JB, Cohn BT. The effect of cold therapy on the postoperative course of total hip and
35 knee arthroplasty patients. *American journal of orthopedics (Belle Mead, NJ).* 1995;24(11):847-52.

36

37