

1 Title: The Midterm Results of the Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder System: A Five-Year Outcome
2 Study

3 Running Title: Five Year Results of Reverse Shoulder

4 Key Words: reverse shoulder arthroplasty; shoulder replacement; outcomes study; range of
5 motion; long term outcome

6 Source of Funding: Depuy Synthes (J&J Company, Warsaw, USA) provided funding for this
7 study

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 **Object:** The purpose of this study was to examine the mid-term functional outcomes,
10 radiographic results, and revision rates of patients treated with the Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder
11 System for both primary and revision arthroplasty indications.

12 **Methods:** A retrospective review was conducted of records for all individuals who underwent a
13 reverse shoulder arthroplasty using the Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder Prosthesis at a single
14 institution. Radiographic analysis as well as pain and functional measures using the ASES,
15 Quick DASH, SST, SF-12, Penn, SANE, EQ-5D and VAS, and VR-12 scores. Patients were
16 evaluated for five-year outcomes.

17 **Results:** Fifty patients were available for 5-year outcomes. Thirty-three cases were primary
18 arthroplasty cases and 17 were revision arthroplasty cases. Postoperative radiographs at five
19 years out from surgery were available for 46 patients. The mean AGT overall was 32.6mm:
20 31.7mm the primary cases and 34.8mm for revision cases. Sirveaux scapular notching was:
21 65.2% (30/46) at Grade 0, 23.9% (11/46) at Grade 1, and 10.9% (5/46) at Grade 2. Overall,
22 32/46 of stems were in neutral position, 10/46 were in valgus position, and 4/46 were in varus
23 position. There was no significant correlation between stem position and scapular notching. The
24 mean outcome scores for all patients at five years were good to excellent. Two revision patients
25 demonstrated loosening of the humeral stem on radiographs. Nine patients demonstrated
26 calcification of the long head of the triceps tendon.

27 **Conclusions:** In conclusion, the Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder System has shown to be a
28 reliable arthroplasty system for patients with CTA or failed prior arthroplasty. Patients are
29 generally quite functional at five years out from their reverse shoulder arthroplasty using this

30 implant. Radiographic measures used to interpret the status of the implant demonstrate that AGT
31 is well maintained and scapular notching is minimal for the majority of cases.

32 **Key Words:** reverse shoulder arthroplasty; shoulder replacement; outcomes study; range of
33 motion; long term outcome

34 **Introduction**

35 Cuff tear arthropathy (CTA) was originally described by Neer in 1983 as a condition in which a
36 patient suffered from both a significant rotator cuff tear with subsequent arthritic changes in the
37 glenohumeral joint [1,2]. For years, patients with this condition were a difficult population to
38 treat. The benefits seen with anatomic total shoulder replacement are predicated on a well-
39 functioning rotator cuff which allows for proper biomechanics of the humeral head with the
40 glenoid surface. For patients who do not have an intact rotator cuff, this ability is lost. In the
41 1970s, many surgeons believed that a constrained prosthesis was the solution to this problem,
42 allowing the overlying deltoid muscle to provide the sole moment arm for glenohumeral motion.
43 These constrained designs underwent multiple incarnations in both the anatomic and inverted
44 orientation, but often failed with high rates of component loosening.

45 In 1985, Paul Grammont developed the first semi-constrained reverse arthroplasty that overcame
46 some of the biomechanical difficulties seen with CTA [2,3]. The Delta I was the first prosthesis
47 by Grammont which took the concept of an inverted design and combined it with a distal and
48 medial glenohumeral center of rotation to help increase the moment arm of the deltoid muscle.
49 This revolutionary design transformed the shear forces that were responsible for glenoid
50 loosening and converted them to compressive forces to stabilize the center of rotation.

51 Grammont et al. first reported on eight rotator cuff deficient patients treated with this prosthesis
52 and found that three of the patients achieved 100 to 130 degrees of forward elevation at 6 months
53 [2,3]. Although some of these implants were later observed to go onto loosening, the design
54 allowed for the evolution to the modern Delta reverse total shoulder system.

55 The Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder System (Depuy Synthes, J&J Company, Warsaw, USA) is
56 just the latest implant design in this lineage. The humeral component consists of a cementless

57 modular titanium hydroxyapatite (HA)-coated or Monobloc cobalt-chromium (Co-Cr) cemented
58 stem. The humeral articulation offers various polyethylene (PE) cup thicknesses. This is
59 combined with a glenoid baseplate component secured with the use of a central peg available in
60 three sizes along with two variable angle locking and two compression screws. The metaglene is
61 smaller with a curved back to help with bone preservation and placed at the inferior margin of
62 the glenoid in an effort to reduce scapular notching. Most importantly, the center of rotation
63 (COR) is positioned on the glenoid bone surface for increase resistance to shear forces
64 commonly attributed to loosening.

65 The goal of this study was to examine the mid-term functional outcomes, radiographic results,
66 and revision rates of patients treated with the Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder System. Both
67 primary and revision arthroplasty patients were followed at a minimum of 5 years out from their
68 surgery.

69 **Materials and Methods**

70 This was a retrospective, single institution study. After obtaining Institutional Review Board
71 approval, patients who underwent reverse total shoulder surgery utilizing the Delta Xtend
72 Reverse Shoulder Prosthesis were identified through retrospective chart review from January
73 2008 to December 2011. Subjects who underwent primary or revision reverse total shoulder
74 arthroplasty during this time were contacted and asked to be seen for a 5-year postoperative visit.
75 All surgeries were performed at a single institution by a single surgeon who performs a high-
76 volume shoulder arthroplasty practice. Postoperative rehabilitation included sling
77 immobilization for 2 weeks followed by the initiation of PROM exercises at 2-4 weeks, AROM
78 exercises at 4-8 weeks and incorporation of strengthening from weeks 12-20. The primary
79 objective of this study was to determine survivorship during this time period which was
80 evaluated by the removal or intended removal of the device. Radiographic analysis as well as
81 pain and functional performance measures using the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons
82 (ASES) Assessment and the Single Assessment Numerical Evaluation (SANE) were also
83 performed.

84 198 patients were identified as having undergone a reverse total shoulder arthroplasty with the
85 Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder System (DePuy Synthes, J&J Company). All patients were
86 contacted at a time period five years postoperatively and asked to complete a questionnaire
87 examining various outcomes measures including: American Shoulder Elbow Score (ASES),
88 Quick DASH score, Simple Shoulder Test (SST) score, SF-12 Mental and Physical scores, Penn
89 Pain, Function, and Satisfaction scores, Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE) score,
90 EQ-5D and EQ-VAS scores, and VR-12 Mental and Physical scores. Utilizing the AP external
91 view, subjects were evaluated for acromion-greater tuberosity (AGT) distance, the presence of

92 scapular notching using Sirveaux's classification, and humeral stem position in the coronal
93 plane.

94 Demographic and surgical information was collected for analysis of contributing factors
95 including age, sex, operative side, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), operative time,
96 preoperative Favard, Hamada, and Sirveaux grades, surgical approach, size of implants (humeral
97 stem and glenosphere), and whether the procedure was a primary or revision surgery.

98 **Results**

99 *Demographics*

100 Fifty patients of the original 198 were available for follow up at least five years postoperatively
101 (Table 1). There were 37 females (74%) and 13 males (26%). The average age of the patients at
102 the time of surgery was 71.52 years (range: 51.02 to 84.87) and at follow up was 78.48 years
103 (range: 56.88 to 93.66). The average weight was 175.5 pounds (range: 112 to 340). The average
104 height was 64 inches (range, 54.5 to 74). The average BMI was 30.1 (range: 19.2 to 47.3). The
105 surgery was performed on the right side in 31 patients (62%) and on the left side in 19 patients
106 (38%). Thirty-three (66%) of the cases were done as a primary arthroplasty while 17 (34%) were
107 performed in a revision arthroplasty setting. The deltopectoral approach was used in 26 patients
108 (52%) while the superior approach was used in 24 patients (48%). The average operative time
109 was 130.8 minutes (range: 68 to 360).

110 *Primary Cases*

111 The average age of the thirty-three primary cases at the time of surgery was 72.8 years with an
112 average of 79.8 years at follow-up. Twenty-six (78.8%) of the cases were performed in females
113 while only seven (21.2%) were performed in males. The average weight was 174.6 pounds. The
114 average height was 63.8 inches. The average BMI was 30.1. The surgery was performed on the
115 right side in 21 (63.6%) patients and on the left side in 12 (36.4%) patients.

116 Preoperative radiographs were available for 75.8% (25/33) of the patients evaluated at five years
117 of follow-up (Figure 1 and 2). The mean preoperative AGT distance measured at 4.9 mm (range,
118 0 to 17.6). The preoperative Favard classification for primary cases were: 17 Favard Group A, 7
119 Favard Group B, and 1 Favard Group C. The preoperative Hamada classification for primary

120 cases was: 2 at Grade 1, 5 at Grade 2, 7 at Grade 3, 10 at Grade 4, and 1 at Grade 5. The
121 preoperative Sirveaux classification for primary cases was: 2 at E0, 13 at E1, 6 at E2, and 4 at
122 E3.

123 The average operative time for all primary cases evaluated was 117.2 minutes. The deltopectoral
124 approach was used in 14 (42.4%) patients and the superior approach was used in 19 (57.6%)
125 patients. A size 38 glenosphere was used in 78.8% (26/33) of cases. A size 42 glenosphere was
126 used in 21.2% (7/33) of cases. Standard humeral stem sizes were used in thirty-two of the
127 primary cases: six stems at size 8 standard, seventeen at size 10 standard, seven at size 12
128 standard, and two at size 14 standard. One case used an 8 long stem.

129 ***Revision Cases***

130 The average age of the seventeen revision cases at the time of surgery was 69 years with an
131 average of 75.9 years at follow-up. Eleven (64.7%) of the cases were performed in females while
132 six (35.5%) were performed in males. The average weight was 177.3 pounds. The average height
133 was 64.3 inches. The average BMI was 30. The surgery was performed on the right side in 10
134 (58.8%) patients and on the left side in 7 (41.2%) patients.

135 Preoperative radiographs were available for 52.9% (9/17) of the patients evaluated at five years
136 of follow-up. Many of the preoperative radiographic measures used for the primary cases were
137 unable to be evaluated in the revision cases due to the nature of cases being revisions of prior
138 arthroplasties. Only four cases could be evaluated using the Favard and Hamada classification.
139 The preoperative Favard classification for those cases were: 2 Favard Group A and 2 Favard
140 group C. The preoperative Hamada classification for those cases were: 2 at Grade 2 and 2 at

141 Grade 5. The preoperative Sirveaux classification for the nine cases with appropriate radiographs
142 was: 1 at E0, 1 at E1, 4 at E2, and 3 at E3.

143 The average operative time for all revision cases evaluated was 160.7 minutes. The deltopectoral
144 approach was used in 12 (70.6%) cases while the superior approach was used in 5 (29.4%) cases.
145 A size 38 glenosphere was used in 82.4% (14/17) of revision cases. A size 42 glenosphere was
146 used in 17.6% (3/17) of revision cases. Standard humeral stem sizes used in six of the revision
147 cases: four stems at size 8 standard and two stems at size 10 standard. The remaining stems used
148 were all long: nine stems at size 8 long, one stem at size 10 long, and one stem at size 12 long.

149 *Five Year Follow-Up Outcomes*

150 The available five-year outcome data for the fifty patients varied significantly. Due to constraints
151 in patient willingness to participate in five-year follow-up and the logistics of being evaluated,
152 some outcome scores were unavailable for patients. Table 2 demonstrated the mean outcomes
153 scores for the patients available at five years after their surgery. The “n” is noted for each
154 outcome measure as certain measures were able to be evaluated in more patients than others.

155 Postoperative radiographs at five years out from surgery were available for 92% (46/50) patients
156 (Figure 3 and 4). Of those patients without five-year postoperative radiographs, 75% (3/4) were
157 revision cases and 25% (1/4) were primary cases. The mean AGT measured on the available
158 five-year postoperative radiographs was 32.6mm (range, 10.7 to 50.6). The mean AGT measured
159 for the primary cases was 31.7mm. The mean AGT measured for the revision cases was 34.8mm.

160 Scapular notching was also assessed on five-year postoperative radiographs. For all patients, the
161 breakdown of Sirveaux scapular notching was: 65.2% (30/46) at Grade 0, 23.9% (11/46) at
162 Grade 1, and 10.9% (5/46) at Grade 2. For primary patients, the breakdown of Sirveaux scapular

163 notching was: 65.6% (21/32) at Grade 0, 25% (8/32) at Grade 1, and 9.4% (3/32) at Grade 2.

164 Lastly, for revision patients, the breakdown of Sirveaux scapular notching was: 64.3% (9/14) at
165 Grade 0, 21.4% (3/14) at Grade 1, and 14.3% (2/14) at Grade 2.

166 Postoperative radiographs were also evaluated for stem positioning. Overall, 69.6% (32/46) of
167 stems were in neutral position, 21.7% (10/46) were in valgus position, and 8.7% (4/46) were in
168 varus position. For primary patients, 71.9% (23/32) were in neutral position, 25% (8/32) were in
169 valgus position, and 3.1% (1/32) were in varus position. For revision patients, 64.3% (9/14) were
170 in neutral position, 14.2% (2/14) were in valgus position, and 21.4% (2/14) were in varus
171 position. There was no significant correlation between stem position and scapular notching.

172 Two patients demonstrated loosening of the humeral stem on radiographs. Both of these patients
173 were revision cases. One patient sustained a periprosthetic fracture distal to the humeral stem and
174 was treated successfully in a Sarmiento brace. Nine patients (19%) demonstrated calcification of
175 the long head of the triceps tendon. This was the most common radiographic finding.

176 **Discussion**

177 The use of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty has increased significantly over the past decade. As
178 a newer orthopaedic procedure compared to the more established surgeries of total hip and knee
179 replacement, there remains a keen interest in understanding the long term outcomes of reverse
180 total shoulder arthroplasty. In our study, we examined the five year outcomes of the latest Delta
181 Xtend Reverse Shoulder System. In 2005, Boileau et al. examined the mid-term results of the
182 Delta shoulder prosthesis in forty-five patients and found a 78% satisfaction rate at a mean
183 follow-up of 40 months [4]. The authors found significantly improved Constant and ASES scores
184 for patients after surgery compared to their preoperative values [4]. For our patient population,
185 we demonstrated an average ASES score of 64.1 for all patients with primary cases averaging
186 67.9 and revisions averaging 55.6. These values are similar to Boileau et al.'s findings which
187 ranged from 50 for revision cases to 77 for primary CTA cases. The authors of that study
188 reported that reverse TSA performed for revision purposes clearly offer less predictable results
189 when compared to those cases done for primary CTA. Our study echoes this finding as the large
190 majority of scores measured at 5 years were worse for revision cases compared to primary cases.
191 Gruber et al. examined longer term outcomes of the Delta Xtend and found that the mean
192 Constant Scores of patients averaging five years out from surgery was 65.8 (range, 21 to 93) [5].
193 The authors of that study concluded that good results are achieved in five years after reverse
194 TSA with use of the Delta Xtend prosthesis. Those authors also evaluated radiographs of patients
195 at five years out from surgery and found that 64% (7/11) of available images demonstrated
196 notching. Of those patients with notching, radiographs only demonstrated Grade 1 or 2 notching
197 on the Sirveaux classification scale. This was increased compared to our radiographic findings.
198 We demonstrated only 34.4% (17/50) of patients with notching. Like the study by Gruber et al,

199 those patients with notching were only Grade 1 or 2 [5]. This rate of notching is much lower than
200 prior studies that found rates of notching ranging from 62% to 94% from four to eight year
201 postoperatively [5, 6, 7, 8].

202 This study did have several limitations. First, all the cases were done by a single surgeon who
203 performs a high-volume of shoulder arthroplasties. The results of this patient series may not be
204 universally relatable to the general orthopaedic surgeon population who performs reverse
205 shoulder arthroplasties at a much lower rate. One of the more obvious and most concerning
206 limitations is lack of homogeneity amongst patient follow up at five years. As seen in the table of
207 outcome measures, there was great variation in the number of patients who were assessed for the
208 various outcome scores. In some instances, there were no patients available for certain scores.
209 This can lead to a lack of consensus amongst the various outcomes measured as some patients
210 only impacted the mean of a certain score. Lastly, despite being able to assess scores of patients
211 at five years out from surgery, there was very poor and inconsistent evaluation of these same
212 scores at preoperative, immediate postoperative, and one to two-year postoperative time points.
213 This made it quite difficult to trend how patients progressed in their outcomes and impossible to
214 compare five-year scores to those at earlier time points. Instead, we are left only to evaluate the
215 five-year data as a snapshot in time at long-term follow-up and are only able to compare this to
216 historical controls at earlier postoperative periods.

217 **Conclusions**

218 In conclusion, the Delta Xtend Reverse Shoulder System has shown to be a reliable arthroplasty
219 system for patients with CTA or failed prior arthroplasty. Patients are generally quite functional
220 at five years out from their reverse shoulder arthroplasty using this implant. Radiographic
221 measures used to interpret the status of the implant demonstrate that AGT is well maintained and
222 scapular notching is minimal for the majority of cases. This study demonstrates that the Delta
223 Xtend Reverse Shoulder System does a good job of providing properly indicated patients with
224 good long-term outcomes.

225 **Acknowledgements**

226 None

227 **Patient Consent**

228 Patients were properly informed of this study prior to consent. All collected data was de-
229 identified. This was approved by our IRB. No new drugs or devices were used in this study.

230 **Disclosure:**

231 The authors report no conflict of interest concerning the materials or methods used in this study

232 of the findings specified in this paper.

233 **References**

- 234 1. Neer CS 2nd, Craig EV, Fukuda H. Cuff-tear arthropathy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1983;
235 65(9):1232-44.
- 236 2. Flatow EL, Harrison AK. A history of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop*
237 *Relat Res.* 2011; 469(9): 2432-39.
- 238 3. Grammont P, Trouilloud P, Laffay J, Deries X. Concept study and realization of a new
239 total shoulder prosthesis. *Rhumatologie.* 1987; 39: 407-8.
- 240 4. Boileau P, Watkinson D, Hatzidakis AM, Hovorka I. Neer Award 2005: The Grammont
241 reverse shoulder prosthesis: results in cuff tear arthritis, fracture sequelae, and revision
242 arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2006; 15(5): 527-40.
- 243 5. Gruber S, Schoch C, Geyer M. The reverse shoulder arthroplasty Delta Xtend: mid-term
244 results. *Orthopade.* 2016; 46(3):222-226.
- 245 6. Koch M, Franz D, Thussbas C, Seebauer L. [Long-term results after reverse shoulder
246 prosthesis] Langzeitergebnisse nach inverser Schulterendoprothetik. Meeting Abstract
247 Deutscher Kongress für Orthopädie und Unfallchirurgie, Berlin. Oct 22-25, 2013.
- 248 7. Al-Hadithy N, Domos P, Sewell M, Pandit R. Reverse shoulder arthroplasty in 41
249 patients with cuff tear arthropathy with a mean follow-up period of 5 years. *J Shoulder*
250 *Elbow Surg.* 2014; 23(11): 1662-68.
- 251 8. Levigne C, Boileau P, Favard L, Garaud P, Mole D, Sirveaux F, Walch G. Scapular
252 notching in reverse shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2008; 17(6): 925-35.

FIVE YEAR RESULTS OF REVERSE SHOULDER

253 **Legend**

254 **Table 1: Patient and Surgery Demographics**

255 **Table 2: Average Outcome Scores for All Patients , Primary Patients, and Revision**

256 **Patients with the Delta Xtend Reverse Prosthesis**